

Pension Reforms in India

Dhirendra Swarup

Demographics

- Total Workforce : > 400 million
- Covered by Pension : < 13 %
- Uncovered by Pension : Nearly 350 million
- Traditional family support for old age declining; 60% of households already nuclear

Demographics

- 80 million elderly today
- Expected to double in 25 years
- 1.8% vs. 3.8%
- Life expectancy 17 years at age 60

Fiscal Compulsion

- > Rs 90,000 crore
- CAGR 21% : Federal employees
27% : States' employees
- > 13% of tax revenue

Survey

- People not saving enough
- Family support declining rapidly
- Longevity improving
- Government's capacity to support the indigent aged will continue to be limited

Policy Response

- OASIS (2000)
- IRA; DC; Voluntary
- HLEG (2001)
- DC-cum-DB
- Government Decision (2003)
 - Defined contribution
 - Mandatory for new recruits
 - Voluntary for others

Objectives of Pension Reforms

- Eliminating the risk of rapidly falling living standards of citizens post retirement
- Narrower goal of fiscal sustainability of civil service pensions

System Design

COMPULSION	NPS ARCHITECTURE	DESIGN OUTCOME
350m; 3.3 sq m	Scalability	Extensive use of IT
Small transaction sizes; modest a/c balances	Low fees & charges	Competitive bidding; use of existing institutional infrastructure; direct selling model
Low literacy; even lower financial literacy; lack of risk appetite	Simplicity	Few & standard choices; default option; equity exposure limited to 50%
Changes in jobs & locations	Portability	IRA; Central record keeping
Define liability upfront; fully funded	Sustainability	Defined contribution; no defined benefit

Empowering the individual

The power to:

- Decide the extent & frequency of contributions
- Choose amongst several fund managers
- Allocate savings amongst various asset classes
- Avail of auto-choice
- Switch without entry/exit loads
- Decide the timing of exit

Safety Features

- Financial intermediaries regulated
- Capital requirement; un-bundled architecture; restrictions on cross holdings
- Audit /Risk management committees
- TRUST model
- Investment norms
- Online access

Some Statistics

- Central Government plus almost all State Governments
- 25,00,000 employees; AUM > Rs 25,000 crore
- 3 Fund managers for civil servants, 6 Fund managers for other citizens
- Most banks as PoPs
- ROR: Varied from 10.52% to 14.82% (8% in GPF)

Challenges

- Low level of financial literacy
- Preponderance of rural aged
- Sex ratio of rural work-force and poor economic status of women
- No surplus income to save for retirement /unpaid workers
- Lack of a comprehensive national pension policy.

Issues for Discussion

- Shouldn't Government treat organised & unorganised sectors equally?
- Should there be preferential tax treatment for retirement savings?
- Should agency system be allowed?
- How to promote NPS?
- Should organised sector work force be given the choice to shift to NPS?

Thank you.