



STATISTICS

## Progress with Drafting an Issue Note on ESG and Green Instruments (BOPCOM 23/19)

Jim Tebrake (IMF)  
Sarah Barahona (OECD)  
Celestino Giron (ECB)

Forty-Second Meeting of the IMF Committee on  
Balance of Payments Statistics

October 24–26, 2023

1

### Outline

- Background
- Working Definitions WS.12
- Finalizing the Definitions
- Questions for BOPCOM Demo
- Next Steps

2

## Background

- In March 2023, the AEG agreed to a proposal to report **Environment, Social and Governance (ESG) and green financial instruments** separately as '*of which*' lines in the financial accounts and balance sheets.
- The proposal was part of the Well-being and Sustainability Guidance Note *WS.12: Environmental Classifications*, which has now been endorsed.
- WS.12 presented working definitions for ESG and green financial instruments, which were to be reviewed and updated in consultation with the third Data Gaps Initiative Recommendation 4 (DGI-3 Rec.4) task team and others.

3

## Background

AF	Financial Assets and Liabilities
AF.1	Monetary gold and SDRs
AF.2	Currency and deposits
AF.3	Debt securities
	<i>Of which: ESG bonds</i>
	<i>Of which: Green bonds</i>
AF.4	Loans
	<i>Of which: ESG loans</i>
	<i>Of which: Green loans</i>
AF.5	Equity and investment fund shares
AF.51	Equity
	<i>Of which: ESG equity</i>
	<i>Of which: Green equity</i>
AF.52	Investment fund shares
	<i>Of which: ESG investment fund shares</i>
	<i>Of which: Green investment fund shares</i>
AF.6	Insurance, pension and standardized guarantee schemes
AF.7	Financial derivatives and employee stock options
AF.8	Other accounts payable/receivable

4

## Background

- In March 2023, the AEG agreed to a proposal to report Environment, Social and Governance (ESG) and green financial instruments separately as 'of which' lines in the financial accounts and balance sheets.
- The proposal was part of the Well-being and Sustainability Guidance Note *WS.12: Environmental Classifications*, which has now been **endorsed**.
- WS.12 presented **working definitions** for ESG and green financial instruments, which were to be reviewed and updated in consultation with the third Data Gaps Initiative Recommendation 4 (DGI-3 Rec.4) task team and others.

## Outcome – AEG Meeting March 2023.

- In August, the ISWGNA and Task Team leads agreed that there was a need for an **Issues Note** to elaborate further the definitions in WS.12.
- A **drafting team** has been established made up of Sarah Barahona (OECD), Jim Tebrake (IMF), and Celestino Giron (ECB).
- An **outline** of the Issues Note has been produced.
- The drafting team is working closely with the **DGI-3 Rec.4** task team to align methods and definitions where financial instruments are the same (i.e., bonds and listed shares—incl. discussions at a recent DGI-3 Rec.4 workshop in Cape Town).
- We will seek AEG approval of the issues note in **early 2024** – in time for inclusion of the definitions in final version of the Glossary and the updated SNA.

## W.12 Definition: ESG and Green Debt Securities (AF.3)

- **Of which: ESG bonds** are negotiable financial instruments serving as evidence of debt in which the use of the bond is restricted to finance or refinance activities or projects that sustain or improve the condition of the environment or society or governance practices. These include green bonds, social bonds, sustainability bonds, sustainability-linked bonds, and governance bonds.
  - **Of which: Green bonds** are negotiable financial instruments serving as evidence of debt in which the use of the bond is restricted to finance or refinance activities or projects that sustain or improve the condition of the environment.

## W.12 Definition: ESG and Green Loans (AF.4)

- **Of which: ESG loans** are funds lent by creditors to debtors in which the debtor agrees to restrict the use of the funds (in whole or in part) to finance or refinance activities or projects that sustain or improve the condition of the environment or society or governance practices. These include green loans, social loans, sustainability loans, governance loans.
  - **Of which: Green loans** are funds lent by creditors to debtors in which the debtor agrees to restrict the use of the funds (in whole or in part) to finance or refinance activities or projects that sustain or improve the condition of the environment.

## W.12 Definition: ESG and Green Equity (AF.51)

- **Of which: ESG equity** are equity investments by creditors to institutional units who agree to restrict the use of the funds (in whole or in part) to finance or refinance activities or projects that sustain or improve the condition of the environment or society or governance practices. These include green equity and social equity and governance equity.
  - **Of which: Green equity** are equity investments by creditors to institutional units who agree to restrict the use of the funds (in whole or in part) to finance or refinance activities or projects that sustain or improve the condition of the environment.

## W.12 Definition: ESG and Green Investment Funds (AF.52)

- **Of which: ESG investment funds** are collective investment schemes that raise funds by issuing shares or units to the public. The proceeds are invested predominantly in whole or in part to finance or refinance activities or projects that sustain or improve the condition of the environment or society or governance practices.
  - **Of which: Green investment funds** are collective investment schemes that raise funds by issuing shares or units to the public. The proceeds are invested predominantly (in whole or in part) to finance or refinance activities or projects that sustain or improve the condition of the environment.

## Refining the Definitions – Some Early Thinking...

- What do we mean by **green**...
  1. Positive contribution to the environment?

*or*

  2. Do no harm?
- WS.12 proposes the 'positive contribution to the environment' principle.
- This was also agreed during the DGI-3 Rec.4 workshop with participating countries in Cape Town on 5-6<sup>th</sup> October.
- The Issues Note will recommend 'positive contribution to the environment' and elaborate further on what this means .

## Refining the Definitions – Some Early Thinking on Green...

- What exactly are we **measuring** for ESG/greenness?
- This is not the same for all financial instruments:
  - for **bonds**, we need to look at the **financial instrument** itself
    - for other debt securities it is not clear
  - for **loans**, it is the **debtor** (although the data will probably come from the creditor)
  - for **directly held shares** (listed and unlisted), it is the **corporation** (or the subsidiary if it has a separate listing) and the **quasi-corporation** in the case of 'other equity'
  - for **investment fund shares**, it is the **fund**

## Refining the Definitions – Some Early Thinking on Green...

- On what **basis** do we measure ESG/greenness?
- The WS.12 proposal is to use the ‘proceeds approach’ for all financial instruments (i.e., funds are ring-fenced for a specific green/ESG project)
- The current thinking of the drafting team is:
  - For bonds, recommend using a **proceeds** approach
  - For equity and (probably) investment fund shares, recommend using a **revenue** approach (i.e., revenue comes from green/ESG activities)
  - For loans, arguments for both **revenue** or **proceeds** bases – still discussing
  - A targets/index approach may also be used in some cases (e.g., for sustainability-linked bonds and for investment funds), but this is seen more as a **way** of measuring than a **basis** for measuring – still discussing

## Refining the Definitions – Some Early Thinking on Taxonomies...

- The national accounts are compiled in line with common official definitions and standards to ensure international comparability.
- However, there is no international consensus on a classification system or ‘taxonomy’ for ESG/green activities/products/financial instruments/entities.
- The taxonomy issue is divisive, with countries adopting different positions.
- Therefore, we will recommend that the SNA should **not adopt a single taxonomy** to be used by all countries to define ESG/greenness.
  - However, regional versions of the SNA such as the ESA may wish to do so.

## Refining the Definitions – Some Early Thinking on Certification...

- Even if the SNA allows the use of different taxonomies by countries, there are choices to be made about the level of **certification/labelling** required...

1) Does the financial instrument/corporation/fund need to meet an **established standard**? If so:

- a) Must this be an official standard? **or**
- b) Can it be either an official standard or a private sector standard?

Private sector standards include the [Climate Bonds Initiative](#), and the International Capital Market Association ([ICMA](#)) principles

2) Should we permit self-certification? (i.e., an application is made to one of the standards and approved based on the info provided); **or**

3) Do we require an external review (e.g., Second Party Opinion)?

The drafting team recommends (1b) and (3) – in line with DGI-3 Rec.4

## Refining the Definitions – Some Early Thinking on Thresholds...

- Is a **threshold** approach useful?

Example:

The principle is established that “to be a green bond, at least 50% of the net proceeds of a bond must be used for green projects”; *and*

If the threshold is met, then the whole value of the bond is reported as green (the ‘all or nothing’ approach)

- Do you have a view on the **level** of the threshold (e.g., 50%, 60%)? Or should we explore further what is used in the markets and in certification processes?
- Do you agree with the ‘**all or nothing**’ approach?
- **Note:** the threshold approach is often used for bonds, but it may not be applicable for all financial instruments – still discussing.



## Refining the Definitions – Some Early Thinking on Presentation...

- The issues note will recommend the following types of debt security to be included in the 'of which' breakdowns:
  - i) green bonds,
  - ii) social bonds,
  - iii) sustainability bonds,
  - iv) sustainability-linked bonds,
  - v) Other
- Only green bonds to be included in 'of which: green'.
- Types ii) to v) would be under 'of which: ESG'.
- While definitions for debt securities are quite well-established, there is still some work to do for loans, equity, and investment fund shares.
  - DGI-3 Rec.4 is only looking at *listed shares*

## Some Questions

1. Do you agree that the general principle for establishing greenness is **positive contribution to the environment** rather than do no harm?
2. Do you agree with using the **proceeds** approach for (most) debt securities and the **revenue** approach for equity?
3. Do you agree with a flexible approach to **taxonomies**?
4. Do you agree with our recommendation on **certification/labelling**?
5. Is a **threshold** approach useful?
6. Do you agree with the **specific definitions** proposed for **debt securities**?
7. Are there **any other issues** that you think we should be clarifying or addressing in the Issues Note?

THANK YOU