

What Happened to Asian Exports During the Crisis?

Rupa Duttagupta

Antonio Spilimbergo¹

International Monetary Fund

International Monetary Fund

(This version: October 1, 2000)

Abstract

After the large exchange rate depreciations following the 1997 East Asian crisis, export volumes from East Asian countries responded with a notable lag. Two main explanations for this lag have been proposed: that the policy of high interest rates limited access to domestic credit and hence limited the supply of exports; and that “competitive depreciation” neutralized the effects on demand for exports. This paper considers the plausibility of these two mechanisms using a new monthly database on exports of selected industries. We find evidence that “competitive depreciation” did play a fundamental role in the propagation of the East Asian crisis through the trade channel, even at a monthly frequency.

J.E.L. Classification numbers: F1, F14

Key words: Cointegration, Competitive Depreciation, Export Demand and Supply, East Asia

¹ We wish to thank Fabio Canova, Kalpana Kochhar, Rachel Kranton, Gian-Maria Milesi-Ferretti, Arvind Panagariya, Raymond Robertson, Francisco Rodríguez, Miguel Savastano, Robert Schwab, Peter Wickham and the seminar participants in the Asia and Pacific and Research Departments of the International Monetary Fund for many helpful suggestions. Corresponding author: Antonio Spilimbergo, Research Department, International Monetary Fund. 700 19th Street N.W. Washington D.C. 20431. E-mail: aspilimbergo@imf.org. Telephone: (202) 6236346. Fax: (202) 6236336.

I. Introduction

During the financial crisis in 1997-98, export revenues of many East Asian countries did not increase in spite of massive depreciation by the afflicted economies.² The depreciations led to sharp declines in dollar-denominated export prices with very modest increases in export volumes. The absence of a quick response of exports to depreciation played a key role in prolonging the East Asian crisis and is puzzling from an analytical point of view.

There are several plausible reasons why exports might have lagged in East Asia even after the huge depreciations following the currency crisis. First, the demand for Asian exports may have been price inelastic in the short run. Second, the contraction of credit to the private sector may have slowed down production and supply of exports. Third, demand may have slowed down in response to an exogenous shift in world demand. Finally, demand for exports in a single country could have slowed because of “competitive depreciations” by others. These different hypotheses lead to very different interpretations of the Asian crisis, its propagation mechanisms, and the policy recommendations for recovery.

This paper considers these alternative hypotheses. To answer this question, a new monthly data set on price and quantity of exports for selected commodity groups is

² Henceforth Asia will refer to the following six economies in our sample: Hong Kong SAR, Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore, South Korea, and Thailand. China, Philippines and Taiwan Province of China could not be included in the sample due to lack of data.

constructed. Using these data, the demand and supply for Asian exports is analyzed within a vector cointegration framework of estimation.

Our empirical results indicate that the demand for East Asian exports is very sensitive to prices—both own and competitors’—and to the world growth rate. The supply prices of exports are quite insensitive to own quantities but are very sensitive to nominal exchange rate changes. Typically, a nominal depreciation decreases the export dollar price, thereby increasing the demand for the depreciating country’s exports. However, depreciation by every other competing country weakens the positive demand effect of the initial depreciation such that the overall effect is a fall in export prices with a very modest increase in export volumes. A key finding is that these effects occurred within 4 to 6 months, thus confirming that trade played a fundamental role in the transmission of the Asian crisis.

The importance of trade in the spreading of the East Asian crisis has been studied both empirically and theoretically. Empirically, Glick and Rose (1999), Caramazza, Ricci and Salgado (1999) and Van Rijckeghem and Weder (1999) look at market shares in trade for evidence of a contagion effect through the trade channel. These authors conclude that the trade shares are important in explaining the currency crises in general (see, for instance, Glick and Rose (1999)), and the crisis in East Asia in particular.³ Looking at trade shares constitutes an important first step in analyzing the role of trade in crises. However, for explicit comparisons between the alternative explanations behind export slowdown, as

³ However, not all economists agree that trade has played an important role. For instance, Kaminsky and Reinhart (1999) argue that the trade links between East Asian countries are not strong enough to explain the spread of the crisis.

outlined above, estimation of structural demand and supply equations becomes necessary. Moreover, this paper is the first study on the East Asian currency crisis that looks at the countries' disaggregated trade data at a monthly frequency.⁴ This is important because high frequency data reveals how quickly demand and supply can adjust to shocks in the external market.

Theoretically, Gerlach and Smets (1995) have formalized the idea that strong competition in the external sector can be responsible for the transmission of a currency crisis. Our paper is an empirical validation of the same idea in the context of East Asia. Finally, our analysis confirms the findings of Abeysinghe (1999) in a vector cointegration estimation framework using data at a monthly frequency.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II presents an overview of the behavior of aggregate East Asian exports during the 1990s. Section III contains the description of the model and data, estimation techniques, and estimation results. Section IV concludes and is followed by four technical appendices.

II. Overview of aggregate East Asian Exports

The export performances of the six East Asian countries in our study were remarkable between 1981 and 1995. On average, their export revenues grew by 12

⁴ Barth and Dinmore (1999) also study the movements of trade prices and volumes in East Asia during the crisis at a monthly frequency. They focus on aggregate exports (and imports) and find that although the export prices of the East Asian countries (Hong Kong SAR, Indonesia, Korea, Singapore, Taiwan province of China and Thailand) fell by 4.8 percent in 1997 and 9.1 percent in 1998, their aggregate export volumes went up by 8.8 percent in 1997 and only 0.7 percent in 1998.

percent per annum, with continuous positive year-to-year growth rates, except in 1983 and 1985. Starting in 1995 however, the export growth rate declined and exports became virtually stagnant in 1996 and 1997, and declined in 1998 (see Figure 1).⁵⁶

The decrease in export revenues towards the end of the 1990s was primarily due to a decline in export prices. Export prices peaked in 1995 and sagged continuously throughout the period afterward, while export volumes continued to grow before the crisis and slowed down only after September 1997 (see Figures 2 and 3). Figure 3 presents the East Asian export data in a price-quantity space, which allows analysis of the actual price-quantity equilibrium points over time. Although, without identifying the demand and supply curves, it is impossible to interpret the movements of the equilibrium path, three different patterns are clearly recognizable. In the period 1989 until June 1994, there was

⁵ Given the strong seasonality of export revenues, both the actual monthly export revenues and a moving annual average are reported.

⁶ Several authors have explored the export slowdown at the end of 1995. Fernald and Loungani (1999) analyze the Chinese effective devaluation in 1994, and show that this devaluation did not change the trade shares in the rest of Asia. Hence, the Chinese devaluation did not cause the Asian crisis. Corsetti, Pesenti and Roubini (1998) suggest that "...the sharp appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Japanese Yen and European currencies since the second half of 1995 led to deteriorating cost-competitiveness in most Asian countries whose currencies were effectively pegged to the dollar." In addition, there was a price war in the electronic sector, which accounts for an important export share in Korea, Taiwan Province of China, and Indonesia. The electronic industry with large initial outlays, low marginal costs, and standard products is naturally prone to a price cycle. The weak economic growth in Japan and the over-investment in these countries were the cause of the price war in 1995. This industry is included in our study in recognition of its importance in the development of the crisis. Finally, Chinn (1998) finds mixed evidence on the Asian exchange rates. Using a couple of methodologies, the author finds that some Asian currencies, like those of Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand, were overvalued before the crises, while some others, like the Korean won, were not.

no significant variation in price but a constant expansion of volumes. In the second half of 1994 until the first half of 1995, there was a significant increase in prices and volumes. Finally, after June 1995, export prices fell continuously with little response in volumes, especially after September 1997. The last period is the most striking and is the focus of our analysis.

Figure 4 shows that a simple explanation for the stagnation of East Asian exports – a worldwide decline in demand – is not plausible. The share of East Asian exports in total world imports increased almost without interruption between 1987 and 1995, but started declining in 1996. This is evidence supporting the view that the export decline was specific to East Asia and cannot be explained by worldwide demand slowdown, and hence emphasizes the need to focus on this region.

III. The Model and Estimation Methodology and Regression Results

A. Data and Model

Our analysis focuses on disaggregated Asian manufacturing exports.⁷ Three broad export groups are considered (chemicals, manufactures and machinery) corresponding to Standard International Trade Classification (SITC) codes 5, 6, and 7; the sum of these three categories represents over 70 percent of exports for Korea, Malaysia, and Singapore, and 60 percent for Thailand. In addition to these three broad categories, three other

⁷ Muscatelli, Stevenson and Montagna (1994) provide evidence of the increasing importance of manufacturing exports (relative to traditional or primary exports) in South-east Asia in recent years.

specific industries (vehicles, clothing and semiconductors), corresponding to SITC codes 78, 84, and 776, respectively, are also considered.⁸ These sub-industries have played important roles in Asian trade.⁹ Clothing, being labor-intensive, has traditionally been a very important export product for developing countries at the initial stages of industrialization, making this sector quite price sensitive and hence an interesting case for studying the effects of depreciation. The semiconductor industry is relatively new but it is already very important in Korea, Malaysia and Taiwan Province of China. It is characterized by large initial investment, reliance on large volumes to cover the initial outlays, and a very high rate of technological innovation. These characteristics make this industry highly cyclical and sensitive to price competition.¹⁰ The road vehicle industry is also very important for the development strategy of Korea and Indonesia. The original sources of all the data are described in detail in Appendix I.

Table 1 (in Appendix II) reports the share of particular commodity exports as a percentage of total exports. Note that manufactures and machinery items constitute a

⁸ For Korea and Thailand, data on SITC 78 could not be retrieved. SITC 7812 (passenger cars) was included instead.

⁹ For similar reasons Fernald, et al. (1999) focused on semiconductors and clothing in their study of Chinese exports.

¹⁰ For a description of the highly competitive nature of the semiconductor industry see Macher, Mowery, and Hodges (1999). This industry includes several products such as integrated circuits and memory devices. The memory devices are highly standardized so that competition is mainly through price and timely delivery. The external market for memory devices had three characteristic phases. From the beginning to 1985, the United States dominated the market. Between 1985 and 1990, Japan dominated the market. After 1990, industry leadership is less clear in that Newly Industrialized Economies have been increasing their shares in several other market segments where price competition is important.

significant proportion of total exports for all Asian countries, except for Hong Kong SAR.¹¹ Among machinery items, semiconductors account for a large proportion of exports for Korea and Malaysia. However, these shares have not changed much between the pre-crisis (1995) and post-crisis (1998) periods.

The aggregate data hide important heterogeneity in composition and destination of exports. Tables 2 through 4 report the percentage of commodity-specific exports that each Asian country exports to its five Asian competitors (Table 2), to the United States (Table 3) and to Japan (Table 4). Table 2 indicates that these countries engaged in substantial intra-Asian trade. These shares were particularly high in chemicals (especially for Korea, Malaysia, Singapore and Thailand), and manufactures (for Korea and Singapore). After the crisis, the share of Asian trade dropped in particular for these two commodity groups. Correspondingly, the share of exports going to the United States (see Table 3) and Japan (see Table 4) increased after the crisis.¹²

Our sample data set was not readily available in any existing database at a monthly frequency. The database utilized here was constructed from the original national sources. Ideally, we would have liked to have data on export prices and volumes for each commodity and country we are interested in. Unfortunately, for many commodity groups in our sample, only data on export revenues were available, so that aggregate price data for the relevant industries were used to deflate revenues and obtain export volumes.

¹¹ Hong Kong's export shares are low because the denominator includes exports to China, whereas the numerator does not.

¹² Hong Kong SAR's shares are unusually small as most of Hong Kong SAR's exports go to China, which we were unable to include in our sample.

Country and industry-specific competitors' price indices were constructed as weighted sums. Weights were constructed as the market shares of each Asian competitor in the total Asian market by particular industry. Data on domestic credit to the private sector, nominal exchange rates and world demand were also used in the estimation. The construction of the data set is described in Appendix I.

The reduced form, long-run equation of demand for exports that we estimate (in logarithmic form) is:

$$X_{ijt} = \mathbf{a}_{ij}^d + \mathbf{a}_{ij1} P_{ijt} + \mathbf{a}_{ij2} P_{ijt}^c + \mathbf{a}_{ij3} Y_t^w + v_{ijt}^d, \quad (1)$$

where,

\mathbf{a}_{ij}^d = constant term in the demand equation.

X_{ijt} = volume of the i-th commodity exported by the j-th country in period t.

P_{ijt} = export price of i-th commodity (in dollars) exported by the j-th country in period t.

P_{ijt}^c = competitors' export price index for commodity i as faced by country j.

Y_t^w = world real import demand.

v_{ijt}^d = residual term in the demand regression.

According to standard economic theory, an increase in one's own price should decrease, while an increase in competitors' price or world demand should increase the demand for one's own exports. Hence, we expect to see $\mathbf{a}_{ij1} < 0$ and $\mathbf{a}_{ij2}, \mathbf{a}_{ij3} > 0$.

The reduced form long run (inverted) supply equation is given by:

$$P_{ijt} = \mathbf{b}_{ij}^s + \mathbf{b}_{ij1} X_{ijt} + \mathbf{b}_{ij2} E_{jt} + \mathbf{b}_{ij3} DC_{jt} + v_{ijt}^s, \quad (2)$$

where,

\mathbf{b}_{ij}^s = constant term in the supply equation.

E_{jt} = nominal exchange rate in country j at time t.

DC_{jt} = domestic credit in country j at time t.

v_{ijt}^s = residual in the supply regression.

We expect a non-negative slope in the supply curve ($\mathbf{b}_{ij1} \geq 0$). The nominal exchange rate measures the extent to which exporters adjust their price in dollars after depreciation. A nominal depreciation reduces the export supply price expressed in dollars ($\mathbf{b}_{ij2} < 0$), although the extent of price decline depends on the pass through elasticity.¹³ Domestic credit (DC) checks whether credit availability is a constraint on export supply. An increase in domestic credit facilitates export supply, thereby reducing its price ($\mathbf{b}_{ij3} < 0$). The sign of the coefficient on domestic credit also tests for the possibility of a credit crunch slowing down export supply during the crisis.¹⁴

Equations (1) and (2) can be estimated using different econometric techniques depending on the time series properties of the data. Given that it is difficult to assess the

¹³ The empirical literature on whether nominal devaluation results in real devaluation is quite comprehensive and the general consensus is in the affirmative. For this point see Reinhart (1995) and the references therein.

¹⁴ While some authors (e.g., Ghosh and Ghosh (1999), and Ferri and Kang (1999)) have looked for the impact of credit crunch on the economy as a whole, we focus on its effect on exports.

time series properties – presence of unit roots and cointegration – in time series that are relatively short, we estimate our equations using cointegration techniques in a panel context. As a robustness check, we also estimate the previous equations using single equation approaches and instrumental variables.

B. Time Series Properties and Cointegration Approach

The first step is to evaluate the stationarity of the data series. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (1979), and Phillips-Perron (1988) tests are both used to check for the presence of unit roots in the variables used in estimation.¹⁵ For each of the six series in every country, the existence of unit roots could not be rejected by at least one of the two tests, and sometimes by both at the 1 percent level of significance. For the same variables, however, the existence of a unit root in the first difference is always rejected, indicating that the variable series are integrated of order one (I(1)).¹⁶

The next step is to assess the existence of any stable long run relationship between the I(1) and I(0) variables, i.e., to test for the existence of cointegration.¹⁷ Johansen's (1988)

¹⁵ The Bayesian Information Criterion is used to determine the optimal lag length.

¹⁶ Notable exceptions are: export volume of manufactures and clothing in Hong Kong SAR, chemicals and semiconductors in Indonesia; manufactures and clothing in Korea; vehicles and clothing in Malaysia and chemicals and miscellaneous manufactures in Singapore. These series appeared to be trend-stationary.

¹⁷ Note that although the sample has a considerable number of observations for most countries (monthly data over 1990-98), they span for a total of nine years only. This span can be too short for a “long-run” relationship in exports. Hence, the power of these tests would generally be low. However, working with monthly data over a short span has an advantage in that it reduces the risk of a major structural change in the estimated

Maximum Likelihood approach and residual based approaches are used to test for the existence of cointegration between the six variables: volume, own price, competitor's price, world demand, exchange rate and domestic credit. Monthly dummies and time trend are also used in the regression, but not restricted to be a part of the cointegrated vectors. All variables in the cointegrating relationship are individually and (sometimes jointly) significant. Critical values for this test were found in Osterwald-Lenum (1992). The results indicate the existence of at least one cointegrating vector for all commodity groups (for all countries) at the 5 percent level of significance. In some cases (e.g., all commodity groups in Indonesia, clothing and semiconductors in Korea, and all commodity groups in Singapore) two cointegrating vectors were found.

Based on the result that the export variables support at least one cointegrating relationship, the long-run demand and supply are estimated in levels. We use the Dynamic Generalized Least Squares methodology of Stock and Watson (1989) as described in Campbell and Perron (1991). This methodology corrects for: (i) serial correlation (the sample residuals exhibit AR(1)) using Generalized Least Squares (GLS); and (ii) endogeneity of the regressors by including lags and leads of changes in the explanatory variables. The long-run specification for the demand equation (following the Stock and Watson approach) is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_{ijt} = & \mathbf{a}_{ij}^d + \mathbf{a}_{ij1} P_{ijt} + \mathbf{a}_{ij2} P_{ijt}^c + \mathbf{a}_{ij3} Y_t^w + d_{ij1}(L)\Delta P_{ijt} + d_{ij2}(L^{-1})\Delta P_{ijt} + d_{ij3}(L)P_{ijt}^c \\
 & + d_{ij4}(L^{-1})P_{ijt}^c + d_{ij5}(L)\Delta Y_t^w + d_{ij6}(L^{-1})\Delta Y_t^w + \sum_{s=1}^{11} \mathbf{I}_{ijs} M_s + v_{ijt}^d,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

relationships. Standard tests for structural change (as described later) indicate that the demand and supply equations have not undergone any major change in their behavioral

where,

M_s = monthly dummies that control for seasonal effects.

$$d_{ijm}(L) = \sum_k d_{ijk} L^k \text{ for } m = 1,3,5 \text{ and } d_{ijm}(L^{-1}) = \sum_k d_{ijk} L^{-k} \text{ for } m = 2,4,6.$$

L is the lag operator.¹⁸

The long-run specification for the supply equation is:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ijt} = & \mathbf{b}_{ijd} + \mathbf{b}_{ij1} X_{ijt} + \mathbf{b}_{ij2} E_{jt} + \mathbf{b}_{ij3} DC_{jt} + s_{ij1}(L)\Delta X_{ijt} + s_{ij2}(L^{-1})\Delta X_{ijt} \\ & + s_{ij3}(L)E_{it} + s_{ij4}(L^{-1})E_{jt} + s_{ij5}(L)\Delta DC_{jt} + s_{ij6}(L^{-1})\Delta DC_{jt} + \sum_{s=1}^{11} \mathbf{m}_{ijs} M_s + v_{ijt}^s, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where, $s_{ijm}(L) = \sum_k s_{ijk} L^k$ for $m = 1,3,5$ and $s_{ijm}(L^{-1}) = \sum_k s_{ijk} L^{-k}$ for $m = 2,4,6$.

Again, the optimum number of leads and lags are chosen as in the demand regression.

The specifications for short-run demand and supply are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta X_{ijt} = & \mathbf{g}_{ijd} + \mathbf{g}_{ij1} ED_{ijt-1} + \mathbf{g}_{ij2} ES_{ijt-1} + \mathbf{g}_{ij3}(L)\Delta P_{ijt} + \mathbf{g}_{ij4}(L)\Delta P_{ijt}^c + \mathbf{g}_{ij5}(L)\Delta Y_t^w \\ & + \sum_k^{11} \mathbf{h}_{dijk} M_k + v_{ijt}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P_{ijt} = & \mathbf{d}_{ijd} + \mathbf{d}_{ij1} ED_{ijt-1} + \mathbf{d}_{ij2} ES_{ijt-1} + \mathbf{d}_{ij3}(L)\Delta X_{ijt} + \mathbf{d}_{ij4}(L)\Delta DC_{it} + \mathbf{d}_{ij5}(L)\Delta E_t \\ & + \sum_k^{11} \mathbf{s}_{sijk} M_k + v_{ijt}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

relationship.

¹⁸ The integer k (the number of lags or leads) is chosen in the following manner: first we start with a reasonable upper bound of k . On estimation with this upper bound, if the variable is significant, we choose k to be the upper bound. If the variable is not significant, we reduce the number of lags until the last included lag is significant in the estimating relationship. A similar method is used to choose the optimum number of leads.

The terms ED_{ijt-1} and ES_{ijt-1} are the one period lagged error correction terms from the long run demand and supply regressions where,

$$ED_{ijt} = X_{ijt} - \mathbf{a}_{ij}^d - \mathbf{a}_{ij1}P_{ijt} - \mathbf{a}_{ij2}P_{ijt}^c - \mathbf{a}_{ij3}Y_t^w \quad (7)$$

$$ES_{ijt} = P_{ijt} - \mathbf{b}_{ij}^s - \mathbf{b}_{ij1}X_{ijt} - \mathbf{b}_{ij2}DC_{jt} - \mathbf{b}_{ij3}E_{jt} \quad (8)$$

As a first step, we estimate equations 3 to 6 separately for each commodity and each country.¹⁹ The general results for the long-run demand equations are: (i) price elasticity is negative and statistically significant; (ii) competitors' price elasticity is positive and statistically significant; and (iii) world demand elasticity is usually more than one and statistically significant. While the long-run demand function performs well in almost all countries and industries (there is considerable dispersion across the countries and industries in the actual magnitude of these elasticities), short-run demand does not perform well but is consistent in showing a speedy adjustment to equilibrium.

The general results for the long run supply equations are: (i) the supply price is relatively inelastic to quantity; (ii) the pass through elasticity between nominal exchanged rate and export supply price is negative and significant; and (iii) the evidence of the effect of domestic credit on export price is mixed. The short run supply curves vary considerably across countries and industries and no specific pattern is discernable.

In addition, we also test (in this single equation framework) for (i) the existence of price homogeneity in the demand equation; (ii) the existence of serial correlation in the errors; (iii) a structural break test in both equations. The hypothesis of price homogeneity

¹⁹ Table 5 and 6 report summary tables for the single equation approach. The regression result tables are too many to be reported here, but they are available upon request.

in the demand equations is generally rejected. The few cases in which this hypothesis is not rejected are when price indices are defined imprecisely (due to lack of data on actual prices). With some exceptions (like Indonesia) results also indicate no structural breaks.²⁰ One explanation is the weak power of the tests (since sample sizes are small with very few data points after the proposed date of structural break). Another explanation, for a structural break of the supply equation, is that any credit crunch resulting in a structural break will possibly be revealed with a delay, possibly in early 1999 for which we do not have data. Finally, the Box-Ljung test results yield inconclusive evidence for residuals exhibiting serial correlation up to six lags.

C. Empirical Results: Panel Approach

The export demand and supply equations estimated above, could suffer due to the relatively small sample sizes. Mark and Sul (1999) show that there are sizeable gains in pooling the data. Kao and Chiang (2000) have compared different estimation techniques for panel data in presence of cointegration and have found that DOLS outperforms both OLS and fully modified OLS.²¹

²⁰ The Chow predictive test (Greene 1997, Chapter 7) is used to check for the possibility of a structural break in demand or supply in July 1997, when the financial crisis started, and in December 1997, when Korea devalued. We also use Andrew's (1993) method of testing for a structural break when the break point is unknown. For the latter test, we restrict the breakpoint to be between July 1997 and December 1997. And we have the same negative results.

²¹ A recent example of application of panel DOLS is Lane and Milese-Ferretti (2000).

We perform GLS regressions in panels for the six commodity groups, allowing for country-specific first-order auto-correlation in the error structure as well as contemporaneous correlation across commodities (i.e., cross equation correlation). The panel comprises of exports from Hong Kong SAR, Indonesia, Korea, Singapore, and Thailand for the period March 1993 through July 1998.²² Malaysia was dropped from the sample as it had very few observations overlapping with the other countries' data in our sample. Besides using monthly dummies to control for seasonality as before, country dummies are used to control for country-specific effects.

The panel estimation results are given in Table 7.²³ The results indicate a very standard demand equation for Asian exports.²⁴ Price elasticity is always significant except for semiconductors. Similarly, competitors' price elasticity is positive and significant except for chemical, vehicles and clothing. The absolute value of elasticity for competitors' price is large, supporting the argument that there is a considerable degree of intra-Asian competition. Chemicals, machinery and semiconductor are particularly sensitive to world demand. Clothing has a negative and insignificant sign reflecting the fact that Asia has been moving away from this sector.

²² Using a Hausman test we could not reject a Random Effects model, i.e., GLS is more efficient. Note that for road vehicles (SITC 78) the panel is imprecise, since the Korean and Thai data are on passenger cars (SITC 7812).

²³ The panel on road vehicles has three countries (Indonesia, Korea, and Thailand) as Hong Kong SAR has an insignificant export of vehicles, and Singaporean data beyond the one-digit level could not be retrieved. For all one digit other commodity groups we have five countries.

²⁴ For the demand equation see Faini, Clavijo, and Senhadji-Semlali (1991); Muscatelli, et. al (1994); and Reinhart (1995).

The results for the supply equations show that supply curves are basically horizontal for all products with the exception of clothing. Export prices are sensitive to depreciation even though the pass-through elasticities of export price with respect to the nominal exchange rate are somewhat smaller in absolute terms than those found in the single equations. Note also that the pass-through elasticity is higher in manufactures, vehicles and semiconductors than in the other industries. This result is consistent with the argument that pass-through elasticities are industry dependent and higher in industries with more market power (see Knetter (1993) for this argument). The pass-through elasticity for chemical industry is zero, reflecting the fact that the national value added share in the final value of chemical products is low so that a depreciation cannot be reflected in prices. Overall, our results on the supply equations are consistent with what has been found in the literature with lower frequency data (see Muscatelli and Stevenson (1995), and Giorgianni and Milesi-Ferretti (1997)).

The evidence on the effect of domestic credit is ambiguous. The sign of the coefficients on domestic credit is negative in five out of six industries but is significant only for the chemicals and the vehicle and semiconductor sub-sectors.²⁵ On the other hand, in the single equation approach only 6 equations out of 36 have the negative sign and are significant. We take it as a very weak evidence that credit crunch is responsible for the delayed response of east Asian exports. This result is consistent with the findings of Ghosh and Ghosh (1999) for East Asia, and the observation of Krueger and Tornell

²⁵ Domestic credit is potentially endogenous. However, only a small share of total domestic credit goes to the sectors we consider so that the problem of endogeneity is limited.

(1999) who find that the Mexican tradable sector had responded strongly to depreciation in the crisis of December 1994, severe credit crunch notwithstanding.

The clothing industry seems to behave differently than other industries. A possible explanation is that exports of textile products by these countries were constrained by the Multi Fiber Arrangement (MFA) quotas. These quotas are still in effect and will be entirely eliminated only by 2005. Such quantity constraints on textile exports would naturally distort the relationship between the variables in the export demand and supply equations for clothing.

Finally, short-term equations show that price and quantities do not adjust immediately to shocks. However, the speed of adjustment is very high for demand ranging from 2.3 months for clothing to 4.9 months for chemicals and it is considerably slower for supply ranging from 13 months to 20 months.

D. Robustness

We check the robustness of our results with respect to the estimation techniques and with respect to the data. As commonly done in the current literature, we tackle the existence of unit root in variables by estimating exports for Asia within a cointegration framework. To control for the possible simultaneity bias, we use the equation-by-equation estimation methodology proposed by Stock and Watson (1989). Another option would be to use Johansen's (1990) systems' estimation procedure. However, this would imply that any possible mis-specification in the estimation of one of the two equations would affect the estimation of the other. To avoid this problem, we estimate demand and supply

separately. In other unreported regressions, we also run instrumental variable regressions and the results were similar but weaker to what we have found using the cointegration approach.

As described before, for many commodity groups in our sample, only data on export revenues were available, so that aggregate price data for the relevant industries were used to deflate revenues and obtain export volumes. As a robustness test, alternative deflators such as import prices of these commodities in the United States were also used.²⁶ Again, country and industry-specific competitors' price indices were constructed as weighted sums. Using this alternative method to obtain quantities and prices does not change significantly our results.

The demand for East Asia exports could be sensitive to economic activity in the U.S., Japan, or other Asian countries in different way so that not only foreign aggregate demand is important but also its composition; if this is the case, we should include several scale variables in our demand equation. In unreported regressions, we have tried alternative specifications with several scale variables for different geographical areas and/or different industry. The regressions show that there is no significantly different impact of composition of world income and putting just one world income variable is sufficient and avoids losing degrees of freedom.

Finally, we have tested different specifications for demand and supply equations. In particular, we have tried real interest rates as an alternative to domestic credit. Even

²⁶ See appendix for the specification of alternative price and volume indices.

though our results of interest rates are not conclusive, the results on the other coefficients are the same as for the equations with domestic credit.

E. Interpretations

In the introduction, we have motivated our analysis by suggesting four plausible explanations as to why exports might have lagged in East Asia even after the huge depreciations. First, the supply of exports could have slowed down because of a credit crunch during the crisis period. Second, the demand for East Asian exports could have contracted because of an exogenous contraction in world demand. Third, the demand for exports could take time to react due to inelastic demand in the short run. Finally, demand for exports of a single country could have slowed down because of the competitive depreciation of its competitors. Table 9 summarizes the expected coefficient in each of the explanations using our estimation results.

Table 9: Implied Coefficients of Alternative Explanations for Slow Response of Exports to Depreciation

<i>Explanation</i>	X_i (Quantity in demand curve)			P_i (Price in supply curve)		
	P_i	P_i^c	Y^w	X_i	DC	E
Credit crunch					--	
Contraction of world demand			--			
J-curve effect	0 (short run)					--
Competitive depreciation	--	++				--

Our results do not support the credit crunch explanation for three reasons: First, we find mixed evidence on the sign of the coefficient on domestic credit. Second, the calculated speed of adjustment for the supply curve (over one year) is too slow to explain the lack of short run reaction in exports. Finally, an upward shift of the supply curve along the demand curve should *increase* the equilibrium price not *decrease* it. An upward shift of the supply curve without any change in prices is possible only if the demand curve is horizontal and our estimated demands indicate that this is not the case for virtually any commodity.

The hypothesis of a contraction of world demand requires high sensitivity of East Asian exports to world output and a drop in world output as corroborated by our regression results. However, there was no collapse of world import demand at the end of the nineties that can explain the contraction of East Asian exports. As shown in Figure 4, East Asian export share in world imports shrank after 1995. Hence, a world demand contraction explanation is ruled out by the data.

The third explanation is based on a vertical demand curve in the short run. If world demand responds slowly to change in the price of East Asian exports, depreciation leads to a lower price but does not increase export volume in the short run. Exports pick up only with time, such that the slope of the demand curve becomes negative in the longer run. This hypothesis is rejected by our short-run analysis, which finds that the adjustment process is very rapid and cannot justify a J-shape revenue curve. Moreover, our estimations indicate a negative and significant elasticity of demand for exports.

Finally, a competitive depreciation explanation implies that exports of an individual country did not pick up because competitors were depreciating and cutting their export

prices as well. This explanation has implications for both the demand and the supply equations. In the supply equation, depreciation has to translate into lower export prices, while in the demand equation, export quantity has to be very sensitive to own and competitors' prices. Our results support both these requirements.²⁷ Hence, a nominal depreciation in each country shifts down its export supply curve by some proportion, there by reducing the export prices. At the same time, nominal depreciation by its competitors' shifts its demand curve to the left, such that quantity sold in exports does not increase by much in spite of a sharp decline in export price.

IV. Conclusion

This paper attempts to identify demand and supply curves for specific export groups at a monthly frequency, whereas almost all of the empirical trade literature has so far focused on lower time frequencies. Analyzing high frequency data is the only possible choice if we want to analyze the fast development of the East Asian currency crisis. Working with recent monthly trade data posed several challenges. First, there was no readily available database. Second, there was a risk that trade equations, which may work well at low frequency, would stop working at high frequency. We have dealt with the data availability problem by constructing an original database on monthly prices and quantities for selected industries for six Asian countries. When the data were not available from the original sources we used several alternatives and checked the robustness of our results.

²⁷ Note that the only way to verify the depreciation explanation is by estimating demand and supply systems as we do. The shortcut of looking at changes in market shares cannot

The specification challenge is dealt with by using a vector cointegration framework in panel. Our results are robust to different specifications and different ways of constructing the data set.

The main results are that demand for East Asian exports is very sensitive to prices—both its own and competitors’—and to the world growth rate. Supply curves (which are either horizontal or slightly downward sloping) are very sensitive to depreciation. These results indicate that competitive depreciation played a fundamental role in exacerbating the real effects of the crisis by working through a trade channel and that these effects occur quite rapidly – in about 4 months. This paper calls for an interpretation of the Asian crisis that would put strong emphasis on the role of trade, the importance of competitive depreciation in prolonging the crisis, and the causes underlying the slowdown in export supply. We also offer an optimistic forecast about the immediate future for East Asian exports. As the economies strengthen and depreciation stops, the demand and supply of exports should return to their pre-crisis growth rates, as is already evidenced from the fast recovery of the Korean and Malaysian economies.

work because countries that engage in competitive devaluation end up with the same market shares.

FIGURES




Figure 1: Monthly East Asian Exports (billion US\$)




Figure 2: East Asia (log) export prices and (log) export volumes




Figure 3: East Asian Price vs Volume




Figure 4. East Asian Export Share in World Import

Bibliography

1. Abeyasinghe, Tilak, 1999 “Thai Meltdown and Transmission of Recession within ASEAN 4 and NIE 4”. Mimeo. National University of Singapore.
2. Andrews, Donald W.K, 1993, “Tests for Parameter Instability and Structural Change with Unknown Change Point”, *Econometrica*, Vol 61, No 4.
3. Barth, Marvin and Trevor Dinmore, 1999, “Trade Prices and Volumes in East Asia Through the Crisis, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, International Finance Discussion Papers, No. 643.
4. Campbell, John Y. and Pierre Perron, 1991, “Pitfalls and Opportunities: What Macroeconomists Should Know about Unit Roots”, *NBER Macroeconomic Annual*.
5. Caramazza, Francesco, Luca Ricci, and Ranil Salgado, 2000, “Trade and Financial Contagion in Currency Crisis” *IMF Working Paper No. 55*.
6. Corsetti, Giancarlo, Paolo Pesenti and Nouriel Roubini, 1998, “What Caused the Asian Currency and Financial Crisis? Part I: A macroeconomic Overview”. *NBER Working Paper No. 6833*.
7. Corsetti, Giancarlo, Paolo Pesenti and Nouriel Roubini, 1998, “What Caused the Asian Currency and Financial Crisis? Part II: The Policy debate”, *NBER Working Paper No. 6834*.
8. Dickey, A. and W. A. Fuller, 1979, “Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root” *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74: 427-431.

9. Faini, Riccardo, Fernando Clavijo, and Abdel Senhadji-Semlali, 1992 “The Fallacy of Composition argument. Is it Relevant for LDCs’ Manufactures exports?” *European Economic Review*. Vol 36: pp. 865-882.
10. Fernald J., Edison. H and Prakash Loungani, 1999, “Was China the first domino? Assessing links between China and other Asian economies”, *Journal of International Money and Finance*. 18(4), August 1999, pp. 515-35.
11. Ferri, Giovanni, and Tae Song, Kang, 1999, “The Credit Channel at Work: Lessons from the Financial Crisis in Korea”. Mimeo. World Bank.
12. Gerlach, Stephen and Frank Smets, 1995, “Contagious Speculative Attacks” *European Journal of Political Economy*, 11, pp. 5-63.
13. Ghosh, Swati R., and Atish R. Ghosh, October 1999, “East Asia in the Aftermath: Was There a Crunch,” Paper presented at the workshop on credit crunch in East Asia: What do we know? What do we need to Know?
14. Giorgianni, Lorenzo and Gian Maria Milesi-Ferretti, October 1997, “Determinants of Korean Trade Flows and Their Geographical Destination”, CEPR, Discussion Paper No. 1703.
15. Glick, Reuven, and Andrew K. Rose, 1999, “Contagion and Trade: Why are Currency Crises regional?”, *Journal of International Money and Finance*, No. 18, pp. 603-617.
16. Green, William, 1997, “Econometric Analysis”. Prentice Hall.
17. Hamilton, James D, 1994, “Time Series Analysis”, Princeton University Press.
18. Johansen, Soren, 1988, “Statistical Analysis of Cointegrating Vectors”, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 12, June, pp. 231-254.

19. Kaminsky, Graciela L. and Carmen Reinhart, 1999, "On crises, Contagion and Confusion", Forthcoming in *Journal of International Economics*.
20. Kao, Chihwa and Min-Hsien Chiang, 2000, "On the estimation and Inference of a Cointegrated Regression in Panel Data" mimeo. University of Syracuse.
21. Knetter, Michael, 1993, "International Comparisons of Pricing-to-Market Behavior" *American Economic Review*. Vol. 83 (3). June, pp. 473-486.
22. Krueger, Anne and Aaron Tornell, 1999, "The Role of bank Restructuring in Recovering from Crisis: Mexico 1995-98". NBER Working Paper No. 7042.
23. Lane, Philip and Gian Maria Milesi-Ferretti, 2000, "The Transfer problem Revisited: Net Foreign assets and real Exchange Rates" IMF Working Paper 00/123
24. Macher T. Jeffrey, David C. Mowery, and David A. Hodges, 1999, "Semiconductors" in "U.S. industry in 2000: studies in competitive performance" edited by David C. Mowery. Washington D.C.. National Academy Press.
25. Mark, Nelson C. and Donggyu Sul, 1999, "A Computationally Simple Cointegration Vector Estimator for Panel Data." Mimeo. Ohio State University.
26. Menzie D. Chinn, 1998, "Before the Fall: Were East Asian Currencies Overvalued?" NBER Working Paper No. 6491.
27. Muscatelli, Vito Antonio, Andrew Stevenson and Catia Montagna, 1994, "Intra-NIE Competition in Exports of Manufactures", *Journal of International Economics* 37, 29-47.
28. Muscatelli, Vito Antonio, Andrew A. Stevenson and Catia Montagna, 1995, "Modeling Aggregate Manufactured Exports of some Newly Industrialized Economies", *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 147-55.

29. Osterwald-Lenum, M., 1992, "A Note with Quantiles of the Asymptotic Distribution of the Maximum Likelihood Cointegration Test Statistics" Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 54: 461-472.
30. Phillips, P. C. B., and P. Perron , 1988, "testing for a unit root in time series regression", Biometrika 75: 335-346.
31. Reinhart, Carmen M., 1995, "Devaluation, relative Prices, and International Trade", International Monetary Fund Staff Papers, Vol. 42 No. 2.
32. Van Rijckeghem, Caroline and Beatrice Weder, 1999 "Sources of Contagion: Finance or Trade" IMF Working Paper 99/146.

Appendix I. Data

Our sample covers monthly data between 1990-98 (with a few exceptions when data could not be retrieved). A complete description of the variables and the data sources is given below:

Price and volume of exports: Export prices were used to deflate the export revenues and obtain volumes of exports for the commodities. For the one-digit commodities like chemicals, manufactured items, machinery and miscellaneous manufactured items, commodity-specific export price indices for Korea, Singapore and Thailand were available. For the two and three digit commodities, the best available country- and commodity-specific export price index is used. For instance, export price index of SITC 7 (machinery) was used to obtain volumes of SITC 78 (road vehicles) and 776 (semiconductors) and so on. For countries such as Indonesia and Malaysia, commodity-specific export prices could not be retrieved such that unit value of exports was used to deflate all export revenues. Hong Kong SAR had an export price index for clothing and semiconductors. For other commodities, the unit value of exports was used.

The use of alternative proxies for price indexes when individual price information is missing is not uncommon. For instance, Muscatelli, et al. (1994), have used import (and sometimes export) price indices of the United States to obtain volumes of developing country manufacturing exports. However, there is a problem with this deflator. Ideally we would like to have $X_{ij} = \frac{R_{ij}}{P_{ij}}$, where R_{ij} is the export revenue earned by the j-th country in the i-th good. However, when P_{ij} is not available, and a proxy like the U.S. import price index for i (denote it by $P_{i,USA}$) is used, a new variable, X_{ij}° , is created such that, $X_{ij}^{\circ} = \frac{R_{ij}}{P_{i,USA}}$. The price $P_{i,USA}$ depends on the exports of commodity i from all exporters of i to the United States. Suppose now that exports of i from all other countries (except j) go up. This leads to a decline in the import price faced by the United States ($P_{i,USA}$) which, increases the value of X_{ij}° even though X_{ij} does not increase. This is one caveat we have to keep in mind when using the commodity specific import price indices from United States. However, in order to check the robustness of our results, we use U.S. import prices as an alternative proxy for Asian export price variables. For instance, in the case of Korean (or Thai) semiconductors, instead of deflating export revenue with that country's export price index of SITC 7, the United State's import price index for SITC 776 is used as a robustness check.

Competitor's price of exports. For every commodity group geometric average weights were constructed (average of 1992-96) by taking the annual share of country j's exports of commodity i (to the world) as a proportion of total Asian exports of that commodity. The weights were then used to obtain a geometric mean of export prices of the competitors. Thus, by construction,

$$\ln P_{ij}^c = \sum_{\substack{h=1 \\ h \neq j}}^H w_{ih} \ln P_{ih} ; w_{ih} = X_{ih} / \sum_{k=1}^K X_{ik} , \quad (7)$$

where h are all the other Asian competitors of good i for country j . The term H refers to the five other competitors. The variable X_{ih} is the total (annual) export of commodity i by country h . When country h does not have a commodity-specific export price, we simply use the overall export price, i.e., the unit value of exports. The term K refers to the six countries in our sample. The weights are constructed with annual data obtained from the IMF's *Direction of Trade Statistics*.

The country-specific sources on the price and quantities of exports are:

Hong Kong SAR: Exports of chemicals, manufactures and machinery were obtained from Hong Kong SAR's *Census and Statistic Department's Monthly Digest of Statistics*. Data on exports of road vehicles, clothing and semiconductors were obtained from the same department's Trade Analysis Section (Hong Kong SAR's External Trade). Unit value index numbers for domestic exports (from the same source) were used to deflate export revenues of chemicals, manufactures, machinery and road vehicles, whereas the appropriate export price index was used for clothing, while that of electronic components was used for semiconductors (these export price indices were retrieved from the Census and Statistics Department). The price data was available from 1988:10-1999:01.

Indonesia: Export revenue data for all commodities were obtained from the Bank of Indonesia's Economics and Statistics Department. The following data points for export revenue were missing in our sample of estimation for chemicals, manufactures and machinery: 94:10 and 94:12; for road vehicles, clothing and semiconductors: 1994:01-02, 1994:10, 1994:12, 1995:1, 1995:2, 1995:12, 1996:1, 1996:2, 1996:4, 1996:5, 1996:11, 1997:12, and from 1998:06-98:12. The general unit value of export index (in dollars) was used to obtain volumes of exports of these commodities (Source for the latter is *International Financial Statistics Database (IFS)*, series 74DZF). This series was available from 1980:01-1998:12 and were interpolated to obtain the missing values for the data points 1981:07-08 and 1987:01-02.

Malaysia: Export revenue data was obtained from Malaysia's *Monthly External Trade Statistics*, Department of Statistics covering 1994:01-1999:02. Values for 1998:4 were missing for all the series. The dollar based unit value of export index was used to deflate export revenues and obtain volumes. Source for the latter is *IFS*. (series 74DZF). The series was interpolated to obtain the missing data points between 1992:04 to 1993:06 and 1996:07 and 1998:2. After interpolation the series was complete till 1998:05.

South Korea: Export revenue data was obtained from the Bank of Korea's *Monthly Bulletin*. Data for chemicals, manufactures and machinery covered the period 1990:01 – 1999:04 and for passenger cars, semiconductors and clothing, it covered the period 1990:01–1998:06. Export price indices for the first three commodity groups were also obtained from the same source.

Singapore. Data on revenue and prices was collected from the *Monthly Digest of Statistics*, Singapore Dept. of Economics. Data on revenue covered the period 1989:01 to 1999:02 (missing between 1998:02-1998:06), while that on export prices covered the

same period with missing points between 1998:01-1998:06. The missing points were interpolated to complete the series.

Thailand. *Monthly Bulletin*, Bank of Thailand was the source for exports of chemicals, manufactures and machinery (available from 1989:01–1999:02), and of the following subcategories: integrated circuits and parts: 18a of the bulletin, a proxy for SITC 776, semiconductors; textile products: 2 of the bulletin, a proxy for SITC 84, clothing (these two series covered the period 1989:01-199:02); and Passenger cars and parts: 51a of the bulletin, a proxy for SITC 7812 covering the period 1993:01– 1999:04. Data on export prices covered the period 1993:01-1999:04. Some price data points had to be interpolated (for SITC 5-8, 97:1-97:5, 97:7, 97:8, 97:10, and 97:11 were missing). General export price index for Thailand was obtained from the IFS (series 74DZF).

The data for **United States import price index** (used to deflated export revenues for the alternative definition of volume) was obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. For chemicals, manufactures, machinery and clothing we retrieved quarterly series between 1990:03 and 1992:08, and monthly thereafter until 1999:05. For semiconductors the data was quarterly from 1989:09 to 1993:12, and monthly thereafter (till 1999:05). The quarterly series for vehicles started from 1989:09 and ended at 1993:12 and was monthly thereafter until 1999:05. All quarterly data were interpolated.

Scale variable. There is an argument that Asian exports are modeled better when world import demand for manufactures is taken as the scale rather than total world demand of imports. By the same convention, given that we are modeling export equations for specific manufacturing commodities, the world demand for those commodities should be a more desirable scale to use. We follow that intuition and construct a trade weighted world demand for each commodity. However the use of this variable produced no improvement in the performance of our estimated equations. The reason is the following, export data is highly trended, and therefore as long as we use a scale variable that is suitably trended, it performs well in the demand equation. Therefore we use the world total import demand for our estimation. This series is taken from IFS database (series 71D). The world unit value of imports (the series 75D) is used to deflate revenues and obtain volume of imports. The series for real world import is available from 1980:01-1998:09.

Domestic credit. The data source is *IFS* (Domestic credit, based on claims on private sector, series, 32DZF). This series (in domestic currency) covered the period 1980:01- 199:04/05 for all countries except Hong Kong SAR. For Hong Kong SAR, the series was annual between 1990 and 1993, quarterly between 1994:1-1995:12 and then monthly till 1999:04. Real domestic credit is obtained by deflating with the country-specific consumer price index (CPI) data for which was also obtained from *IFS* (series 64ZF) covering 1980:01-1999:03 (For Hong Kong SAR, CPI data was available from 1990:01). Hong Kong SAR real domestic credit had to be interpolated to get the cointegration results. However all other estimations were carried out without interpolating this variable.

Nominal Exchange Rate. This monthly series comes from the *IFS* database (period average market rate, series RFZF) and covers the period 1980:01-1999:05.

Appendix II. Market Shares.

**Table 1: Share of specific commodity sections out of total exports (in percentage):
Comparison between 1995 and 1998 based on average of monthly shares.²⁸**

	Chemicals	Manufacture	Machinery	Vehicle	Clothing	Semic.
Hong Kong SAR	1 ; 1	2; 1	5; 3	0.0; 0.0	5; 5	1; 1
Indonesia	3 ; 4	23; 18	8; 10	7; 5	0.8; 0.6	0.3; 0.4
Korea	7; 8	22; 22	52; 49	6; 6	4; 3	15; 14
Malaysia	3 ; 3	9; 8	55; 59	1; 1	3; 3	18; 19
Singapore	6 ; 7	6; 4	66; 67	-	-	-
Thailand	3 ; 4	18; 15	34; 40	0.3; 0.5	10; 8	4; 4

Table 2: Asian exports to each other: Percentage of specific exports to Asian Partners, based on 1995; 98/97 values.²⁹

Country	Chemicals	Manufacture	Machinery	Vehicle	Clothing	Semic.
Hong Kong SAR	10 ; 7	10 ; 8	18 ; 12	7 ; 9*	1 ; 0	32;21
Indonesia	32 ; 32	26 ; 22	42 ; 43	55; 51*	4 ; 2	63 ; 46*
Korea	26 ; 17	27 ; 19	18 ; 15	5 ; 1	2 ; 2	30 ; 30
Malaysia	46 ; 40*	39 ; 39*	34 ; 35*	27; 17*	7 ; 7*	34 ; 36*
Singapore	46 ; 37	53 ; 43	34 ; 28	36; 23	8 ; 5	42 ; 38
Thailand	53 ; 37*	26 ; 26*	34 ; 30*	15 ; 7*	9 ; 3*	41 ; 33*

Table 3: Asian exports of the same commodities to the U.S: 1995; 98/97 values

Country	Chemicals	Manufactures	Machinery	Vehicle	Clothing	Semic.
Hong Kong SAR	1 ; 1	10 ; 12	19 ; 18	7 ; 9*	48 ; 47	29 ; 27
Indonesia	3 ; 5	7 ; 11	24 ; 15	11 ; 10	33 ; 45	23 ; 15
Korea	5 ; 7	8 ; 13	26 ; 22	22 ; 19	39 ; 44	34 ; 27
Malaysia	9 ; 10*	2 ; 7*	29 ; 25*	3 ; 4*	49 ; 49*	33; 26*
Singapore	8 ; 6	14 ; 4	24 ; 26	4 ; 5	53 ; 54	20 ; 21
Thailand	2 ; 2*	8 ; 15*	21 ; 22*	6 ; 4*	25 ; 43*	22; 24*

²⁸ These shares were computed from annual data retrieved from the Direction of Trade Statistics. The first figure is from 1995, the second from 1998.

²⁹ The first number in every cell is the share in 1995 while the second is the share in 1998. When 1998 values not available, substituted by 1997 values (denoted by '*'). Road vehicles is an insignificant percentage of Hong Kong exports, and it does not export any significant proportion of its total exports of road vehicles to the Asian partners listed here. More than 60% of Hong Kong's vehicle exports go to China, which is not listed here.

Table 4: Asian exports of the same commodities to Japan: based on 1995; 98/97 values.

Country	Chemicals	Manufactures	Machinery	Vehicle	Clothing	Semic
Hong Kong SAR	3 ; 2	2 ; 1	5 ; 8	- ; -	3 ; 1	5 ; 7
Indonesia	9 ; 7	23 ; 13	8 ; 16	6 ; 9	10 ; 14	7 ; 14
Korea	1 ; 8	13 ; 9	9 ; 6	2 ; 1	37 ; 21	15 ; 9
Malaysia	11 ; 10*	15 ; 17*	9 ; 9*	4 ; 3*	5 ; 5*	8 ; 8*
Singapore	5 ; 5	3 ; 5	8 ; 6	3 ; 5	4 ; 3	9 ; 6
Thailand	9 ; 10*	11 ; 10*	15 ; 13*	6 ; 8*	10 ; 10*	17 ; 17*

Table 5. Demand Equation: Single equation cointegration estimation*

	Chemicals	Manufactures	Machinery	Vehicles	Semiconductor	Clothing
P_{ijt}	-0.14 (4-, 1+, 1=0)	-0.46 (4-, 0+, 2=0)	-0.48 (3-, 0+, 3=0)	-0.69 (2-, 0+, 2=0)	-0.68 (3-, 0+, 2=0)	-0.60 (3-, 0+, 2=0)
P_{ijt}^c	0.57 (0-, 1+, 5=0)	1.40 (0-, 5+, 1=0)	1.47 (0-, 5+, 1=0)	1.51 (1-, 1+, 2=0)	1.21 (0-, 3+, 2=0)	-0.18 (2-, 2+, 1=0)
Y_t^w	2.08 (0-, 5+, 1=0)	0.68 (1-, 4+, 1=0)	1.89 (0-, 4+, 2=0)	0.53 (0-, 1+, 3=0)	2.08 (0-, 4+, 1=0)	0.03 (1-, 0+, 4=0)

Table 6. Supply Equation: Single equation cointegration estimation*

	Chemicals	Manufactures	Machinery	Vehicles	Semiconductor	Clothing
X_{ijt}	-0.12 (2-, 0+, 4=0)	-0.13 (1-, 0+, 5=0)	-0.12 (3-, 0+, 3=0)	-0.03 (0-, 0+, 4=0)	-0.14 (2-, 0+, 3=0)	-0.08 (3-, 0+, 2=0)
DC_t	0.25 (1-, 3+, 2=0)	0.17 (1-, 4+, 1=0)	0.10 (2-, 4+, 0=0)	0.05 (1-, 1+, 2=0)	0.02 (1-, 2+, 2=0)	0.17 (0-, 2+, 3=0)
E_t	-0.48 (5-, 0+, 1=0)	-0.50 (5-, 0+, 1=0)	-0.46 (4-, 0+, 2=0)	-0.32 (4-, 0+, 0=0)	-0.04 (3-, 0+, 2=0)	-0.65 (4-, 0+, 1=0)

*See main text for the explanation. Coefficients indicate average value of the estimated parameter. The notation in the parentheses has the following interpretation. Suppose we have (x-, y+, z = 0); this implies that x of estimated coefficients are negative and significant (at 10 percent level or below), y of coefficients are positive and significant (at 10 percent level or below) and z of coefficients are insignificantly different from zero.

Table 7: Estimation Results (Panel Approach)Long Term Demand: Dependent variable = X_{it}

	Chemical	Manufactures	Machinery	Vehicle	Semicond.	Clothing
P_{it}	-0.556 (0.00)	-1.192 (0.00)	-0.291 (0.00)	-1.481 (0.00)	-0.110 (0.21)	-1.026 (0.00)
P_{it}^c	0.211 (0.26)	1.201 (0.00)	1.086 (0.00)	-0.275 (0.49)	1.128 (0.00)	-0.233 (0.20)
Y_t^w	1.658 (0.00)	0.128 (0.21)	1.083 (0.00)	0.151 (0.79)	2.715 (0.00)	-0.144 (0.11)
Log likelihood	296	396	291	104	261	280
Countries	5	5	5	3	4	4
Obs. #	275	275	275	192	240	240

Short Term Demand: Dependent Variable = ΔX_{it}

	Chemical	Manufactures	Machinery	Vehicle	Semicond.	Clothing
ΔP_{it-1}	-0.206 (0.35)	-0.077 (0.68)	0.393 (0.18)	0.548 (0.28)	-0.035 (0.92)	-0.155 (0.64)
ΔP_{it-1}^c	0.216 (0.66)	-0.169 (0.73)	-0.359 (0.67)	-0.098 (0.93)	1.517 (0.02)	-0.210 (0.83)
ΔY_{t-1}^w	-1.082 (0.00)	-0.404 (0.03)	-0.264 (0.27)	0.210 (0.69)	-0.012 (0.97)	0.351 (0.33)
ECD_{t-1}	-.203 (0.00)	-0.244 (0.00)	-0.225 (0.00)	-0.309 (0.00)	-0.227 (0.05)	-0.435 (0.11)
Implied speed (months)	4.9	4.1	4.4	3.2	4.4	2.3
R^2	0.39	0.45	0.42	0.39	0.34	0.56

Table 7 (continuation)Long Term Supply: Dependent variable: P_{it}

	Chemicals	Manufacture	Machinery	Vehicle	Semicond.	Clothing
X_{ijt}	-0.001 (0.91)	0.002 (0.87)	0.004 (0.77)	-0.021 (0.29)	-0.028 (0.36)	-0.038 (0.01)
DC_{jt}	-0.088 (0.03)	-0.045 (0.21)	-0.069 (0.11)	-0.336 (0.00)	-0.365 (0.00)	0.031 (0.10)
E_{jt}	0.004 (0.91)	-0.124 (0.00)	-0.080 (0.02)	-0.136 (0.00)	-0.156 (0.00)	-0.041 (0.18)
Log likelihood	558	574	586	307	458	493
Countries	5	5	5	3	4	4
Obs. #	275	275	275	192	240	240

Short Term Supply: Dependent Variable = ΔP_{it}

	Chemical	Manufactures	Machinery	Vehicle	Semicond.	Clothing
ΔX_{it-1}	0.031 (0.16)	0.012 (0.77)	-0.020 (0.53)	-0.001 (0.95)	-0.008 (0.78)	0.108 (0.00)
ΔDC_{it-1}^c	0.362 (0.22)	0.302 (0.28)	0.292 (0.33)	0.338 (0.41)	0.272 (0.47)	0.385 (0.20)
ΔE_{t-1}	-0.143 (0.44)	-0.113 (0.53)	-0.118 (0.53)	-0.148 (0.52)	-0.140 (0.53)	-0.104 (0.53)
ECS_{t-1}	-0.066 (0.06)	-0.077 (0.08)	-0.050 (0.14)	-0.065 (0.10)	-0.076 (0.05)	-0.066 (0.13)
Implied speed (months)	15.2	13	20	13.2	13.2	15.2
R^2	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.27

Note: The time period is between March 1994 and December 1998. The demand and supply are estimated with DOLS as explained in the main text. Every long-term regression includes one lead and lag for each (difference of) continuous variable. The short-term equations include two lags for each continuous variable. All regressions include fixed effects for each country and monthly dummies. We allow for country-specific first-order auto-correlation in the error structure as well as contemporaneous correlation across countries in the long-term regressions. R2 are not defined (see Greene, 1997) in the long-term regressions. The speed of adjustment is calculated as the inverse of the opposite of the coefficient on the error correction term