The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author only, and does not imply that the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management endorses or shares the views expressed in the presentation.



Management of fiscal risks in Norway

Pål Ulla
Deputy Director General
The Budget Department
The Ministry of Finance, Norway
Paris October 28



Risk factors

- Fiscal rule
- Petroleum revenues and the SWF
- Macro fiscal forecasts
- Contingency reserves
- Insurance
- Guarantees



Fiscal rule changed in 2001

- 1) Petroleum income should be phased into the economy on par with the development in expected return on the Government Pension Fund Global
- 2) Considerably emphasis must be put on stabilising the economy



"The development in expected return on the Government Pension Fund – Global"

The capital in the fund:

- At the beginning of the budget year (no petroleum reserves included)
- Petroleum revenues t-1
- Market value of the capital in the fund
- Appreciations of the NOK

Expected real return: 4 %

A gradual and sustainable increase in the use of petroleum revenues



The Government Pension Fund – Global (SWF)

Stabilisation	Liquid capital with fixed return	60 % down to 40 %
Savings	Equities with higher risks, long term investments	40 % up to 60 %
Diversification	Real estate investments	New with small share (up to 5 % in the long term)



"Considerably emphasis must be put on stabilising the economy"

Macro-economic forecasts

- Structural deficit
- Automatic stabilization
- Revisions of the estimates during the year

Exchange rate (direct – indirect)

Interest and dividends



Contingency reserves

Must have them (for real contingency liabilities?)

Not to large (natural disasters not important in Norway)

Medium-term expenditure framework



Private – public - partnership

Three infrastructure projects as pilots

Why do we need PPP?

- Higher productivity lower risks
- Use money outside the fiscal rule



Insurance

Self-insurer

- Cyclical risks the government will be the last resort anyway
- None-cyclical risks few for the government

The Petroleum Insurance Fund

 Governmental petroleum activity; financed by a premium (notional)



Explicit guarantees

Explicit guarantees approved by the parliament

- Appropriations to cover future loss on guarantees
- If a public company has a debt-guarantee from the government they have to pay a fee
- Public financial companies have reserves for losses, but may also have appropriations for losses (traditional small losses)



Implicit guarantees

Counties/municipalities (ROBEK)

Public enterprises

- Turned into limited companies
- Paid for quasi-fiscal operations
- Hospital sector (Draft facilities in private banks)

Financial crises

• Systemic Banking Crisis (1991)