

International Seminar on
Strengthening Public Investment and Managing
Fiscal Risks from Public-Private Partnerships

Budapest, Hungary
March 7–8, 2007

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) only, and the presence of them, or of links to them, on the IMF website does not imply that the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management endorses or shares the views expressed in the paper.

Managing Fiscal Risk from PPPs: Main Issues for Governments

Gerd Schwartz
Division Chief, Expenditure Policy Division
Fiscal Affairs Department (FAD)
International Monetary Fund (IMF)
Washington, DC 20431 (USA)

E-mail: gschwartz@imf.org; tel: +1.202 623-7308

Presentation for Seminar on “Strengthening Public Investment and Managing Fiscal Risks from PPPs”, Budapest, March 7-8, 2007

Overview

- Background: Provision of Services—Public Provision, Private Provision, PPPs
- Fiscal Risks and PPPs
 - Main Issues
 - Factors for Success
 - Good Projects
 - Good Institutions
 - Good Accounting and Reporting

Background—Provision of Services

	Public Provision	Private Provision	PPPs
Essentials	Public sector: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Owns assets• Does planning• absorbs risks (construction, maintenance, demand)	Private sector: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Owns assets• Does planning• Receives user fees• absorbs risks (construction, maintenance, demand)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Assets at most temporarily owned by private sector• Substantial public planning• Risk sharing (often ambiguously)
Non-Essentials	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• User fees• Contracting out (e.g., maintenance)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Regulated prices• Regulated quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Regulated prices• Creation of single purpose vehicle

Background--What's Wrong With Public Provision of Infrastructure Services?

- Nothing necessarily; but frequently:
 - poor overall planning processes;
 - costs underestimated: time and financial cost overruns are common;
 - overly optimistic demand forecasts.
- Institutional incentives sub-optimal:
 - Ministries have poor incentives for cost saving

Background—Are PPPs the Answer?

- Theoretical virtues of PPPs are similar to those offered for privatization:
 - private firms more efficient (e.g., benefit of private sector expertise; improvement in timely delivery of quality services);
 - distributive issues—those who benefit are those who pay;
 - Political economy considerations—e.g., easier to reject “pork barrel” projects.
- Have PPPs delivered on their promises?
 - With regard to efficiency?
 - With regard to reducing fiscal risks via risk sharing?

Fiscal risk & PPPs—Main issues

- Motivation for PPPs--Tempting for cash strapped governments trying to meet fiscal targets (e.g., SGP).
- Fiscal risks:
 - Move spending off budget and liabilities off balance sheet; can create contingent liabilities→example: minimum traffic guarantee.
 - Potentially threaten integrity of budget process and undermine efforts to safeguard macroeconomic sustainability.

Fiscal risk & PPPs—Main Issues

- Issues to be addressed by governments:
 - How to handle calls for more spending on infrastructure while safeguarding fiscal sustainability and the budget process?
 - How to ensure that top priority needs for infrastructure are addressed first? → Investment planning
 - To what extent should innovative financing mechanisms for infrastructure (e.g., PPPs, revenue earmarking) be pursued?
 - How to manage fiscal risks from PPPs?

Fiscal Risks & PPPs—Main Issues

- Is achieving fiscal discipline and good governance more difficult with PPPs?
- Perhaps—also because of potentially large contingent liabilities. Requires better institutional structures.
- Examples: Hungary's M1—renationalized when operator ran into financial difficulties due to traffic shortfalls; Hungary's M5—risk shifted back to budget through minimum revenue guarantee; other country examples.

Fiscal risk & PPPs—Factors for Success

- What determines success for PPPs → effective mitigation of fiscal risk & realization of efficiency gains.
- Governments can control these factors:
 - Good projects;
 - Good fiscal institutions (incl. legal & regulatory framework, supervisory institutions);
 - Good fiscal accounting and reporting.
- Keep in mind reputational risks: need to get it right from the outset → start small and build a reputation.....

Fiscal risk & PPPs—good projects

- Good projects:
 - Good investment prioritization procedures → investment planning (incl. use of project appraisal techniques)
 - Prioritize investment projects and build clear strategy.
 - Evaluate fiscal risks from concessions (VfM, PSCs).
 - Medium-term planning (MTFFs, MTBFs, MTEFs).

Fiscal risk & PPPs—good institutions

- Good legal & regulatory framework:
 - Governs overall PPP procurement process;
 - Integrates PPP proposals with budget cycle;
 - Clarifies institutional roles and responsibilities;
- Good supervisory institutions → management and oversight framework:
 - Establish due-diligence/multi-stage gateway processes with strong role of Finance Ministry. Finance Ministry actively has to manage the gateway process for PPPs.
 - Make sure PPPs are done for the right reasons.
- Clear separation of PPP promotion and PPP oversight functions.

Fiscal Risks & PPPs—good accounting and reporting

- Goal: Achieve full and transparent disclosure of all fiscal risks from PPPs.
- Issues to be addressed:
 - Limit public sector contingent liabilities from investments that are not on the public sector's books
 - Prevent creating budgetary rigidities from pre-committing spending for hidden liabilities
 - Create right incentives for risk sharing and for designing PPPs to achieve VfM
 - Develop common international PPP accounting standards
 - Take PPPs into account in medium-term fiscal scenarios

The End

Disclaimer: The views and opinions expressed in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the IMF or IMF policy.