INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND AND INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION

UNION OF THE COMOROS

Joint IMF/World Bank Debt Sustainability Analysis 2009

Prepared by the staffs of the International Monetary Fund and the International Development Association

Approved by Roger Nord and Dominique Desruelle (IMF) and Carlos Braga and Sudhir Shetty (IDA)

August 28, 2009

Comoros is in debt distress. The low-income country debt sustainability analysis (LIC DSA) shows that Comoros remains in debt distress under the baseline. For illustrative purposes, the alternative scenario assumes a hypothetical access to HIPC/MDRI debt relief within the next 3 years. Under such circumstances, debt becomes manageable⁵

⁵ This is an update of the December 2008 DSA prepared for Comoros using the debt sustainability framework for low-income countries developed jointly by the IMF and the World Bank. The 2008 DSA was prepared at the time of the last IMF Article IV consultation with Comoros (Country Report No. 09/42). The 2009 DSA is based on external and public debt data from the Comorian authorities, and on IMF and World Bank staff estimates; it was produced jointly by Fund and Bank staffs following "Staff Guidance Note on the Application of the Joint Fund-Bank Debt Sustainability Framework for Low-Income Countries (LICs)," October 06, 2008 – http://www-int.imf.org/depts/pdr/Debt/Debt-Sustainability/Low-Income-Country-DSA/SM08317andCorr1.pdf and http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTDEBTDEPT/PolicyPapers/21952151/39748MainExt.pdf

1. Macroeconomic assumptions underlying this LIC-DSA update are broadly unchanged from those in the December 2008. The update assumes a somewhat stronger export performance, a more modest rate of increase in remittances, and a slower rate of improvement in the domestic primary balance.

2. **The main results of the updated DSA are similar to those of the end-2008 exercise**. The analysis shows in particular that Comoros remains in debt distress, as evidenced by recurrent arrears accumulation and prolonged breaches of the NPV of debt and debt service thresholds. As in the previous DSA, under the baseline scenario the NPV of external debt-to-exports ratio is above country-specific indicative thresholds for most of 2009–24.

3. An alternative scenario that assumes HIPC/ MDRI debt relief points to a significant improvement of the debt outlook. The debt dynamics under the HIPC/ MDRI debt relief scenario would be sustainable under a variety of stress tests. Under the most extreme test⁶, the PV of debt-to-GDP, debt-to-exports, and debt-to-revenues ratios are breached, but the trajectories revert to below the thresholds much faster compared to the baseline without debt relief. After the shock, the NPV of debt would decline to 59 percent of exports after 2029; and the debt service ratios would remain below relevant thresholds throughout the horizon.

4. **The public DSA does not change the above assessment.** However, under the alternative scenario, higher revenues and spending restraint will permit achievement of a small primary fiscal surplus, anchoring fiscal consolidation and permitting a gradual reduction of domestic arrears. Both the NPV and debt service ratios recede faster towards the end of the projection period than at the beginning, reflecting the combined impact of primary budget surpluses in the later years as well as repayment of the bulk of principal obligations becoming due to larger creditors (IDA and AfDB).

5. **The debt dynamics are vulnerable to lower real GDP growth and lower export growth.** Figures 4 shows that even under a HIPC/MDRI scenario, the continuation of the historical growth trend (average annual GDP growth of 2 percent versus an average of close to 4 percent projected for the next two decades) would result in a steady convergence of debt indicators back to current levels. These vulnerabilities underscore the importance of export diversification and continued reform efforts.

2

⁶ One standard deviation shock to the historical average of net transfers and net FDIs (i.e. net non-debt creating flows).

Appendix II Box 1. Macroeconomic Assumptions

Real GDP growth: GDP growth in 2009 is projected to stagnate at 1 percent, with a sustained recovery projected to start in 2010 from a growth rate of 1.5 percent, accelerating gradually to a peak of 4 percent in 2013. For the period of 2014–28, real GDP growth rate averages 4 percent, close to the rate registered in 2005 following the previous secessionist crisis. Growth during the recovery phase through 2012 would be underpinned by a good agricultural supply response to higher food prices, renewed consumer and investor confidence and enhanced political stability; stable terms of trade; and new FDI-led investments, especially in tourism and public infrastructure. Longer-term growth would be driven by enhanced investment in key sectors and by structural reforms under the PRGF aimed at enhancing competitiveness.

Inflation: end-2009 inflation is projected to decline to 2.3 percent from a high 7.4 percent in 2008, as pressures on oil and food prices recede. In the absence of significant second round effects, inflation will settle at about 3 percent over the longer-term horizon.

Real exchange rate and terms of trade: After a modest appreciation in 2008-2009, the real effective exchange rate is projected to be broadly stable throughout the remainder of the projection period; the terms of trade are expected to recover from the 2008 deterioration, and are projected to remain broadly stable thereafter.

Remittances: remittances, on average, are projected to converge to the historical norm of 14.5 percent of GDP during 2013-2029 from 19 percent of GDP in 2009, following an unusually strong growth in 2008.

Current account balance: The current account deficit (including official transfers) is projected to narrow from 11.3 percent of GDP in 2008 to 8 percent in 2009 as the terms of trade improve slightly. For the next seven year (2010-2016), the current account balance would average about 10 percent of GDP due mainly to strong import growth (especially for investment) outpacing exports, before improving to an average of about 6 percent over the reminder of the projection period. Service export is expected to pick up steadily at an annual average of 10 percent, in response to improved hotel infrastructure when the Galawa and other tourism resorts are completed, compared with 5 percent during 1998–2007.

Government balance: The primary balance (total revenue and grants less non-interest expenditure) is projected to improve -1.6 percent of GDP in 2009 from a deficit of 2.7 percent in 2008, and to gradually move into surplus beginning in 2016, as revenue collection improves and more efforts are made to maintain spending under control.

External assistance, scaling up and concessionality: The framework assumes that up to 2012 external assistance will be mostly in the form of grants, averaging about 7 percent of GDP. Over the long-term (2012–29) further assistance will be available, in adequate terms, including from IDA and AfDB.

Domestic borrowing: The scenario assumes no new medium to long-term domestic borrowing beyond Central Bank's short-term cash advances to the treasury.



Appendix II Figure 1. Comoros: Indicators of Public and Publicly Guaranteed External Debt, Baseline with PRGF only (152.5 percent of quota) Scenario, 2009-2029 1/

Source: Staff projections and simulations.

1/ The most extreme stress test is the test that yields the highest ratio in 2019. In figure b. it corresponds to a Non-debt flows shock; in c. to a Non-debt flows shock; in e. to a Exports shock and in picture f. to a One-time depreciation shock



Appendix II Figure 2. Comoros: Indicators of Public Debt, Baseline with PRGF only (152.5 percent of quota) Scenario, 2009-2029 1/

2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029







 $2009 \ 2010 \ 2011 \ 2012 \ 2013 \ 2014 \ 2015 \ 2016 \ 2017 \ 2018 \ 2019 \ 2020 \ 2021 \ 2022 \ 2023 \ 2024 \ 2025 \ 2026 \ 2027 \ 2028 \ 2029$

Sources: Country authorities; and Fund staff estimates and projections.

- 1/ The most extreme stress test is the test that yields the highest ratio in 2019.
- 2/ Revenues are defined inclusive of grants.



Appendix II Figure 3. Comoros: Indicators of Public and Publicly Guaranteed External Debt under the Alternative PRGF (152.5 percent of quota) and HIPC/MDRI Scenarios, 2009-2029 1/



1/ The most extreme stress test is the test that yields the highest ratio in 2019. In figure b. it corresponds to a Non-debt flows shock; in c. to a Non-debt flows shock; in d. to a Non-debt flows shock; in e. to a Non-debt flows shock and in picture f. to a Non-debt flows shock



Appendix II Figure 4. Comoros: Indicators of Public Debt Under the Alternative PRGF (152.5 percent of quota) and HIPC/MDR Scenarios, 2009-2029 1/

 $2009 \ 2010 \ 2011 \ 2012 \ 2013 \ 2014 \ 2015 \ 2016 \ 2017 \ 2018 \ 2019 \ 2020 \ 2021 \ 2022 \ 2023 \ 2024 \ 2025 \ 2026 \ 2027 \ 2028 \ 2029$



2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029



2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029

Sources: Country authorities; and Fund staff estimates and projections.

1/ The most extreme stress test is the test that yields the highest ratio in 2019.

2/ Revenues are defined inclusive of grants.

	Actual			Historical 0	Standard			Projec	tions						
				Average 0	Deviation							2009-2014			2015-2029
	2006	2007	2008			2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Average	2019	2029	Average
External debt (nominal) 1/	69.8	57.6	54.3			48.5	45.7	43.8	41.0	37.5	34.1		20.4	9.6	
o/w public and publicly guaranteed (PPG)	69.8	57.6	54.3			48.5	45.7	43.8	41.0	37.5	34.1		20.4	9.6	
Change in external debt	-1.4	-12.2	-3.3			-5.7	-2.9	-1.9	-2.8	-3.5	-3.3		-2.2	-0.8	
Identified net debt-creating flows	3.1	-4.2	2.6			5.9	8.1	7.4	7.0	6.8	7.1		6.3	5.6	
Non-interest current account deficit	5.5	6.3	10.7	3.5	4.3	6.1	9.3	9.8	9.5	10.2	10.3		8.4	5.9	7.7
Deficit in balance of goods and services	24.4	26.9	33.7			28.7	28.8	29.0	28.5	28.7	27.8		23.2	16.9	
Exports	14.2	14.8	14.0			13.9	14.0	14.2	14.4	14.5	14.5		15.0	16.0	
Imports	38.6	41.6	47.7			42.6	42.7	43.2	42.9	43.2	42.4		38.2	32.9	
Net current transfers (negative = inflow)	-18.3	-19.8	-22.2	-16.3	3.2	-21.1	-18.7	-18.7	-18.4	-18.0	-17.2		-14.6	-11.0	-13.5
o/w official	-1.6	-2.8	-2.8			-2.0	-0.5	-0.5	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6		-0.6	-0.6	
Other current account flows (negative = net inflow)	-0.7	-0.8	-0.8			-1.5	-0.8	-0.5	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4		-0.2	0.1	
Net FDI (negative = inflow)	-0.2	-1.6	-1.4	-0.5	0.5	-1.7	-1.7	-1.9	-1.8	-2.5	-2.4		-1.7	-0.4	-1.3
Endogenous debt dynamics 2/	-2.2	-8.9	-6.7			1.5	0.4	-0.5	-0.7	-0.8	-0.8		-0.3	0.0	
Contribution from nominal interest rate	0.6	0.4	0.5			2.1	1.1	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.6		0.5	0.4	
Contribution from real GDP growth	-0.8	-0.3	-0.5			-0.6	-0.7	-1.1	-1.4	-1.5	-1.4		-0.8	-0.4	
Contribution from price and exchange rate changes	-1.9	-9.0	-6.7												
Residual (3-4) 3/	-4.5	-8.0	-5.9			-11.6	-10.9	-9.3	-9.8	-10.4	-10.5		-8.5	-6.4	
o/w exceptional financing	0.0	-4.6	-0.2			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
PV of external debt 4/			36.7			35.2	33.0	31.7	29.6	27.5	25.4		15.6	7.0	
In percent of exports			262.9			254.1	235.7	223.6	205.1	189.9	174.8		104.1	43.7	
PV of PPG external debt			36.7			35.2	33.0	31.7	29.6	27.5	25.4		15.6	7.0	
In percent of exports			262.9			254.1	235.7	223.6	205.1	189.9	174.8		104.1	43.7	
In percent of government revenues			279.5			255.4	242.3	228.1	207.8	188.5	173.8		104.0	40.7	
Debt service-to-exports ratio (in percent)	13.1	11.4	12.8			22.5	16.3	12.7	15.5	13.7	13.4		15.9	7.5	
PPG debt service-to-exports ratio (in percent)	13.1	11.4	12.8			22.5	16.3	12.7	15.5	13.7	13.4		15.9	7.5	
PPG debt service-to-revenue ratio (in percent)	13.7	13.3	13.6			22.6	16.8	13.0	15.7	13.6	13.3		15.8	7.0	
Total gross financing need (Billions of U.S. dollars)	0.0	0.0	0.1			0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	
Non-interest current account deficit that stabilizes debt ratio	6.9	18.5	14.0			11.8	12.2	11.7	12.3	13.7	13.6		10.5	6.7	
Key macroeconomic assumptions															
Real GDP growth (in percent)	1.2	0.5	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	2.8	4.0	4.0	4.0
GDP deflator in US dollar terms (change in percent)	2.8	14.8	13.2	7.7	9.8	-3.6	5.5	2.5	3.1	3.3	3.5	2.4	3.0	3.0	3.0
Effective interest rate (percent) 5/	0.9	0.6	1.0	1.0	0.2	3.8	2.4	1.4	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.2	2.4	4.3	3.0
Growth of exports of G&S (US dollar terms, in percent)	3.6	20.0	8.1	12.5	13.9	-3.3	7.9	6.6	8.6	7.8	8.0	5.9	8.1	7.8	7.8
Growth of imports of G&S (US dollar terms, in percent)	12.4	24.5	30.9	13.1	14.6	-13.1	7.4	6.1	6.1	7.9	5.7	3.4	5.1	6.0	5.4
Grant element of new public sector borrowing (in percent)						0.0	0.0	28.1	28.1	61.0	61.0	29.7	33.1	35.0	37.7
Government revenues (excluding grants, in percent of GDP)	13.6	12.7	13.1			13.8	13.6	13.9	14.3	14.6	14.6		15.0	17.2	15.7
Aid flows (in Billions of US dollars) 7/	0.0	0.0	0.1			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1		0.1	0.1	
o/w Grants	0.0	0.0	0.1			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
o/w Concessional loans	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Grant-equivalent financing (in percent of GDP) 8/						6.9	6.1	6.7	6.9	7.0	7.2		7.5	7.3	7.4
Grant-equivalent financing (in percent of external financing) 8/						84.7	87.7	86.1	87.2	96.8	96.8		90.3	94.5	92.7
Memorandum items:															
Nominal GDP (Billions of US dollars)	0.4	0.5	0.5			0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7		1.0	2.0	
Nominal dollar GDP growth	4.1	15.4	14.3			-2.6	7.0	5.1	6.7	7.4	7.6	5.2	7.1	7.1	7.2
PV of PPG external debt (in Billions of US dollars)			0.2			0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.2	0.1	
(PVt-PVt-1)/GDPt-1 (in percent)						-2.4	0.0	0.4	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.4	-0.6	-0.2	-0.3

Appendix II Table 1a.: External Debt Sustainability Framework, Baseline with PRGF only (152.5 percent of quota) Scenario, 2006-2029 1/ (In percent of GDP, unless otherwise indicated)

Source: Staff simulations.

1/ Includes both public and private sector external debt.

2/ Derived as [r - g - r(1+g)]/(1+g+r+gr) times previous period debt ratio, with r = nominal interest rate; g = real GDP growth rate, and r = growth rate of GDP deflator in U.S. dollar terms.

3/ Includes exceptional financing (i.e., changes in arrears and debt relief); changes in gross foreign assets; and valuation adjustments. For projections also includes contribution from price and exchange rate changes.

4/ Assumes that PV of private sector debt is equivalent to its face value.

5/ Current-year interest payments divided by previous period debt stock.

6/ Historical averages and standard deviations are generally derived over the past 10 years, subject to data availability.

7/ Defined as grants, concessional loans, and debt relief.

8/ Grant-equivalent financing includes grants provided directly to the government and through new borrowing (difference between the face value and the PV of new debt).

Appendix II Table 1b.Comoros: Sensitivity Analysis for Key Indicators of Public and Publicly Guaranteed External Debt, 2009-2029 (In percent)

										Pro	jections										
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
					PV of del	ot-to GDP	' ratio														
Baseline	35	33	32	30	28	25	23	21	19	17	16	14	13	12	11	11	10	9	8	8	7
A. Alternative Scenarios																					
A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2009-2029 1/ A2. New public sector loans on less favorable terms in 2009-2029 2	35 35	29 34	24 33	27 32	24 30	22 28	20 26	17 24	15 22	14 21	12 19	11 18	10 17	9 16	8 15	7 15	7 14	6 14	5 13	5 12	4 12
B. Bound Tests																					
 B1. Real GDP growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B2. Export value growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 3/ B3. US dollar GDP deflator at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B4. Net non-debt creating flows at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B5. Combination of B1-B4 using one-half standard deviation shocks B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation relative to the baseline in 2010 5/ 	35 35 35 35 35 35 35	33 34 35 37 38 46	33 34 36 41 41 44	31 32 33 39 39 41	28 30 31 36 36 38	26 27 29 34 34 36	24 25 26 31 31 32	21 23 23 29 29 29	20 21 22 27 27 27	18 19 20 25 24 24	16 17 18 22 22 22	15 16 16 20 20 20	14 14 15 19 19 18	13 13 14 17 17	12 12 13 16 16	11 12 12 15 15 15	10 11 13 13 14	9 10 10 12 12 13	9 9 10 11 11 12	8 9 10 10 11	7 7 8 9 9 9
				Р	V of deb	-to-expor	ts ratio														
Baseline	254	236	224	205	190	175	158	141	129	116	104	94	87	80	73	68	63	58	53	49	44
A. Alternative Scenarios																					
 A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2009-2029 1/ A2. New public sector loans on less favorable terms in 2009-2029 2 	254 254	209 241	168 236	185 223	168 208	151 194	134 178	117 161	104 148	91 138	80 127	70 118	63 112	57 106	51 100	46 96	42 92	37 87	33 82	30 78	26 73
B. Bound Tests																					
 B1. Real GDP growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B2. Export value growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 3/ B3. US dollar GDP deflator at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B4. Net non-debt creating flows at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 4/ B5. Combination of B1-B4 using one-half standard deviation shocks B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation relative to the baseline in 2010 5/ 	254 254 254 254 254 254	236 264 236 268 269 236	224 282 224 288 288 224	205 260 205 267 267 205	190 241 190 250 249 190	175 223 175 232 232 175	158 202 158 214 213 158	141 182 141 194 193 141	129 166 129 180 179 129	117 151 117 165 164 117	104 135 104 148 147 104	94 123 94 134 133 94	87 113 87 123 121 87	80 104 80 112 111 80	73 95 73 102 101 73	68 88 94 93 68	63 81 63 86 86 63	59 75 59 79 78 59	54 68 54 71 71 54	49 62 49 64 64 49	44 55 44 57 57 44
				Р	V of debt	-to-reven	ie ratio														
Baseline	255	242	228	208	188	174	156	140	129	116	104	94	86	79	72	67	61	56	51	46	41
A. Alternative Scenarios																					
A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2009-2029 1/ A2. New public sector loans on less favorable terms in 2009-2029 2	255 255	215 248	171 241	188 226	167 207	150 193	132 176	116 160	104 148	91 138	80 127	70 118	62 111	56 105	50 98	45 93	40 89	36 83	32 78	28 73	24 68
B. Bound Tests																					
B1. Real GDP growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B2. Export value growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 3/ B3. US dollar GDP deflator at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B4. Net non-debt creating flows at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 4/ B5. Combination of B1-B4 using one-half standard deviation shocks B6. One-time 30 network on minuel device into relative to the baseline in 2010 5/	255 255 255 255 255 255	245 248 261 275 278 339	235 243 257 294 295 319	214 222 234 270 272 291	194 202 212 248 249 264	179 187 196 231 232 243	161 169 176 211 211 218	145 153 158 193 193	133 141 145 180 180	120 128 131 165 164 163	107 114 117 148 147 145	97 103 106 134 133 132	88 94 97 121 121 120	81 86 89 111 110 110	74 78 81 100 100	69 72 75 91 91 93	63 67 69 84 84 84	58 61 63 75 75 75 78	52 55 57 67 68 71	47 49 51 60 60 64	42 44 46 53 53 57

9

Appendix II Table 1b.Comoros: Sensitivity Analysis for Key Indicators of Public and Publicly Guaranteed External Debt, 2009-2029 (continued)

(in percent) Debt service-to-exports ratio																					
Baseline	22	16	13	15	14	13	16	16	16	17	16	13	11	10	10	7	7	8	8	8	8
A. Alternative Scenarios																					
A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2009-2029 1/ A2. New public sector loans on less favorable terms in 2009-2029 2	22 22	16 16	11 13	14 16	12 14	12 14	14 16	13 17	13 16	13 16	12 16	9 13	8 12	7 11	7 11	5 8	5 8	5 9	5 9	5 9	4 9
B. Bound Tests																					
 B1. Real GDP growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B2. Export value growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 3/ B3. US dollar GDP deflator at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B4. Net non-debt creating flows at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 4/ B5. Combination of B1-B4 using one-half standard deviation shocks B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation relative to the baseline in 2010 5/ 	22 22 22 22 22 22 22	16 18 16 16 17 16	13 15 13 13 14 13	15 19 15 17 17 15	14 17 14 15 15 14	13 16 13 15 15 13	16 19 16 17 18 16	16 19 16 17 18 16	16 19 16 17 18 16	17 20 17 19 20 17	16 20 16 20 20 16	13 16 13 16 16 13	11 14 11 15 15 11	10 13 10 13 13 13 10	10 13 10 13 13 10	7 10 7 10 10 7	7 9 7 10 10 7	8 11 8 11 11 8	8 10 8 10 10 8	8 10 8 10 10 8	8 9 8 9 9 8
Debt service-to-revenue ratio																					
Baseline	23	17	13	16	14	13	16	16	16	17	16	13	11	10	10	7	7	8	8	7	7
A. Alternative Scenarios																					
A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2009-2029 1/ A2. New public sector loans on less favorable terms in 2009-2029 2	23 23	16 17	12 13	14 16	12 14	12 14	13 16	13 17	13 16	13 16	12 16	9 13	8 11	7 11	7 11	5 8	5 8	5 9	5 9	5 8	4 8
B. Bound Tests																					
 B1. Real GDP growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B2. Export value growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 3/ B3. US dollar GDP deflator at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B4. Net non-debt creating flows at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 4/ B5. Combination of B1-B4 using one-half standard deviation shocks B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation relative to the baseline in 2010 5/ 	23 23 23 23 23 23 23	17 17 18 17 17 23	13 13 15 14 14 18	16 16 18 17 18 22	14 14 15 15 15 19	14 14 15 15 15 19	16 16 18 17 17 22	17 16 18 17 18 23	17 16 18 17 18 23	17 17 19 19 20 23	16 17 18 20 20 22	13 14 14 16 16 18	12 12 13 15 15 16	10 11 13 13 14	10 11 13 13 14	7 8 10 10 10	7 8 8 10 10 10	8 9 10 10 11	8 9 10 10 11	8 8 9 9	7 7 8 9 9 9
Memorandum item: Grant element assumed on residual financing (i.e., financing required above baseline) 6/	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38

Source: Staff projections and simulations.

1/ Variables include real GDP growth, growth of GDP deflator (in U.S. dollar terms), non-interest current account in percent of GDP, and non-debt creating flows.

2/ Assumes that the interest rate on new borrowing is by 2 percentage points higher than in the baseline., while grace and maturity periods are the same as in the baseline.

3/ Exports values are assumed to remain permanently at the lower level, but the current account as a share of GDP is assumed to return to its baseline level after the shock (implicitly assuming

an offsetting adjustment in import levels).

4 Includes official and private transfers and FDI.
 5/ Depreciation is defined as percentage decline in dollar/local currency rate, such that it never exceeds 100 percent.
 6/ Applies to all stress scenarios except for A2 (less favorable financing) in which the terms on all new financing are as specified in footnote 2.

		Actual		Historical 0) Standard	Projections												
	2006	2007	2000	Average 0	Deviation	2000	2010	2011	2012	2012	2014	2009-2014	2010	2020	2015-2029			
	2006	2007	2008			2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Average	2019	2029	Average			
External debt (nominal) 1/	69.8	57.6	54.3			48.5	45.7	43.8	21.0	19.8	18.7		15.0	10.7				
o/w public and publicly guaranteed (PPG)	69.8	57.6	54.3			48.5	45.7	43.8	21.0	19.8	18.7		15.0	10.7				
Change in external debt	-1.4	-12.2	-3.3			-5.7	-2.9	-1.9	-22.8	-1.2	-1.1		-0.5	-0.6				
Identified net debt-creating flows	3.1	-4.2	2.6			5.8	8.1	7.4	7.0	7.6	7.8		6.6	5.5	0.0			
Non-interest current account deficit	5.5	6.3	10.7	3.5	4.3	7.3	10.8	11.4	11.3	10.8	10.9		8.8	6.2	8.0			
Deficit in balance of goods and services	24.4	26.9	35./			28.7	28.8	29.0	28.5	28.7	27.8		23.2	16.9				
Exports	14.2	14.8	14.0			13.9	14.0	14.2	14.4	14.5	14.5		15.0	16.0				
Imports	38.6	41.6	47.7	16.3		42.6	42.7	43.2	42.9	43.2	42.4		38.2	32.9	12.5			
Net current transfers (negative = inflow)	-18.3	-19.8	-22.2	-16.5	3.2	-21.1	-18./	-18./	-18.4	-18.0	-1/.2		-14.6	-11.0	-13.5			
	-1.0	-2.8	-2.8			-2.0	-0.5	-0.5	-0.6	-0.6	-0.0		-0.0	-0.6				
Net EDI (negative = inflow)	-0./	-0.8	-0.8	0.5	0.5	-0.3	0.7	1.1	1.2	0.1	0.2		0.2	0.4	1.2			
Net FDI (negative = innow)	-0.2	-1.0	-1.4	-0.5	0.5	-1./	-1./	-1.9	-1.8	-2.5	-2.4		-1./	-0.4	-1.5			
Contribution from nominal interact rate	-2.2	-8.9	-0./			0.2	-1.1	-2.0	-2.5	-0.8	-0.7		-0.5	-0.5				
Contribution from nominal interest rate	0.0	0.4	0.5			0.8	-0.4	-0.9	-1.1	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1				
Contribution from real GDP growth	-0.8	-0.5	-0.5			-0.6	-0.7	-1.1	-1.4	-0.8	-0.7		-0.6	-0.4				
Desidual (3.4) 3/	-1.9	-9.0	-0./			11.5	11.0	0.2	20.8		 8 0		7.1	63				
Kesiuuai (3-4) 3/	-4.5	-0.0	-3.9			-11.5	-11.0	-9.5	-29.0	-0.0	-0.9		-/.1	-0.2				
o/w exceptional manenig	0.0	-4.0	-0.2			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0				
PV of external debt 4/			36.7			36.1	34.4	33.8	10.7	10.3	9.9		8.7	7.3				
In percent of exports			262.9			260.4	246.2	238.0	73.8	71.1	68.4		58.2	45.9				
PV of PPG external debt			36.7			36.1	34.4	33.8	10.7	10.3	9.9		8.7	7.3				
In percent of exports			262.9			260.4	246.2	238.0	73.8	71.1	68.4		58.2	45.9				
In percent of government revenues			279.5			261.8	253.1	242.7	74.8	70.6	68.0		58.1	42.7				
Debt service-to-exports ratio (in percent)	13.1	11.4	12.8			13.6	5.6	2.0	2.8	2.4	2.4		4.9	4.2				
PPG debt service-to-exports ratio (in percent)	13.1	11.4	12.8			13.6	5.6	2.0	2.8	2.4	2.4		4.9	4.2				
Traditional and (Dilling of U.S. dellar)	13./	13.3	13.6			13./	5./	2.1	2.8	2.4	2.3		4.9	3.9				
Non interest summer as south definitions of U.S. dollars)	0.0	19.5	14.0			12.0	12.7	0.1	24.1	12.0	12.0		0.1	0.1				
Non-interest current account deficit that stabilizes debt ratio	6.9	18.5	14.0			13.0	13.7	13.5	34.1	12.0	12.0		9.3	6.8				
Key macroeconomic assumptions																		
Real GDP growth (in percent)	1.2	0.5	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	2.8	4.0	4.0	4.0			
GDP deflator in US dollar terms (change in percent)	2.8	14.8	13.2	7.7	9.8	-3.6	5.5	2.5	3.1	3.3	3.5	2.4	3.0	3.0	3.0			
Effective interest rate (percent) 5/	0.9	0.6	1.0	1.0	0.2	1.4	-0.8	-2.1	-2.6	0.1	0.1	-0.7	0.5	1.3	0.8			
Growth of exports of G&S (US dollar terms, in percent)	3.6	20.0	8.1	12.5	13.9	-3.3	7.9	6.6	8.6	7.8	8.0	5.9	8.1	7.8	7.8			
Growth of imports of G&S (US dollar terms, in percent)	12.4	24.5	30.9	13.1	14.6	-13.1	7.4	6.1	6.1	7.9	5.7	3.4	5.1	6.0	5.4			
Grant element of new public sector borrowing (in percent)						28.9	28.9	43.7	43.7	61.0	61.0	44.5	33.1	35.0	37.7			
Government revenues (excluding grants, in percent of GDP)	13.6	12.7	13.1			13.8	13.6	13.9	14.3	14.6	14.6		15.0	17.2	15.7			
Aid flows (in Billions of US dollars) 7/	0.0	0.0	0.1			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1		0.1	0.1				
o/w Grants	0.0	0.0	0.1			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1				
o/w Concessional loans	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0				
Grant-equivalent financing (in percent of GDP) 8/						7.2	6.3	6.9	7.1	7.0	7.2		7.5	7.3	7.4			
Grant-equivalent financing (in percent of external financing) 8/						89.1	91.3	89.1	89.9	96.8	96.8		90.3	94.5	92.7			
Memorandum items:																		
Nominal GDP (Billions of US dollars)	0.4	0.5	0.5			0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7		1.0	2.0				
Nominal dollar GDP growth	4.1	15.4	14.3			-2.6	7.0	5.1	6.7	7.4	7.6	5.2	7.1	7.1	7.2			
PV of PPG external debt (in Billions of US dollars)			0.2			0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1				
(PVt-PVt-1)/GDPt-1 (in percent)						-1.5	0.7	1.0	-22.4	0.4	0.4	-3.6	0.5	0.1	0.4			

Appendix II Table 2a. The Comoros: External Debt Sustainability Framework, Under the Alternative PRGF (152.5 percent of quota) and HIPC/MDRI Scenario, 2006-2029 1/ (In percent of GDP, unless otherwise indicated)

Source: Staff simulations.

1/ Includes both public and private sector external debt.

2/ Derived as [r - g - r(1+g)]/(1+g+r+gr) times previous period debt ratio, with r = nominal interest rate; g = real GDP growth rate, and r = growth rate of GDP deflator in U.S. dollar terms.

3/ Includes exceptional financing (i.e., changes in arrears and debt relief); changes in gross foreign assets; and valuation adjustments. For projections also includes contribution from price and exchange rate changes.

4/ Assumes that PV of private sector debt is equivalent to its face value.

5/ Current-year interest payments divided by previous period debt stock.

6/ Historical averages and standard deviations are generally derived over the past 10 years, subject to data availability.

7/ Defined as grants, concessional loans, and debt relief.

8/ Grant-equivalent financing includes grants provided directly to the government and through new borrowing (difference between the face value and the PV of new debt).

Appendix II Table 2b.Comoros: Sensitivity Analysis for Key Indicators of Public and Publicly Guaranteed External Debt, 2009-2029 (In percent)

	Projections																				
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
PV of debt-to GDP ratio																					
Baseline	36	34	34	11	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8	7
A. Alternative Scenarios																					
 A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2009-2029 1/ A2. New public sector loans on less favorable terms in 2009-2029 2 	36 36	34 35	31 34	10 11	9 11	9 11	8 11	7 10	7 10	7 11	7 11	7 11	6 11	6 11	6 12	6 12	6 12	5 12	5 11	5 11	4 11
B. Bound Tests																					
 B1. Real GDP growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B2. Export value growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 3/ B3. US dollar GDP deflator at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B4. Net nor-debt creating flows at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B5. Combination of B1-B4 using one-half standard deviation shocks B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation relative to the baseline in 2010 5/ 	36 36 36 36 36 36	35 35 37 39 39 48	35 36 38 43 43 47	11 13 12 20 19 15	11 12 12 19 18 14	10 12 11 18 18 14	10 11 11 18 17 13	9 11 10 17 16 12	9 11 10 17 16 12	9 11 10 16 16 12	9 10 15 15 12	9 10 10 15 14 12	9 10 10 14 14 12	9 10 10 14 14 12	9 10 10 13 13 12	9 10 10 13 13 12	9 10 10 12 12 12	9 9 10 12 12 12	8 9 9 11 11 11	8 9 10 10 11	8 8 9 10 10
				Р	V of deb	t-to-expor	ts ratio														
Baseline	260	246	238	74	71	68	65	60	60	59	58	58	58	57	57	57	56	54	51	49	46
A. Alternative Scenarios																					
 A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2009-2029 1/ A2. New public sector loans on less favorable terms in 2009-2029 2 	260 260	240 247	222 242	67 80	63 78	59 76	55 73	50 70	48 69	47 71	45 71	43 72	42 74	41 74	39 75	38 76	37 76	35 74	32 73	30 70	27 68
B. Bound Tests																					
 B1. Real GDP growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B2. Export value growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 3/ B3. US dollar GDP deflator at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B4. Net non-debt creating flows at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B6. Combination of B1-B4 using one-half standard deviation shocks B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation relative to the baseline in 2010 5/ 	260 260 260 260 260 260	246 275 246 278 279 246	238 299 238 302 303 238	74 104 74 136 131 74	71 100 71 131 127 71	68 97 68 126 122 68	65 91 65 120 116 65	60 86 60 113 110 60	60 85 60 111 108 60	59 84 59 108 105 59	58 81 58 102 99 58	58 79 58 97 95 58	58 78 58 93 91 58	57 77 57 89 88 57	57 76 57 86 85 57	57 74 57 82 81 57	56 73 56 79 78 56	54 69 54 74 74 54	51 66 51 69 69 51	49 62 49 64 64 49	46 58 46 59 59 46
				Р	'V of debi	t-to-reven	ue ratio														
Baseline	262	253	243	75	71	68	64	60	60	59	58	57	57	57	56	55	54	52	49	46	43
A. Alternative Scenarios																					
A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2009-2029 1/ A2. New public sector loans on less favorable terms in 2009-2029 2	262 262	247 254	226 247	68 81	62 78	59 76	54 73	49 69	48 70	47 71	44 71	43 72	41 73	40 73	39 74	37 74	36 74	33 71	30 69	28 66	25 63
B. Bound Tests																					
B1. Real GDP growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B2. Export value growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 3/ B3. US dollar GDP deflator at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B4. Net non-debt creating flows at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B4. Net non-debt creating flows at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation relative to the baseline in 2010 5/	262 262 262 262 262 262 262	256 259 272 286 289 354	250 258 273 308 311 339	77 89 84 137 134 104	73 84 79 130 126 99	70 81 77 125 122 95	66 76 72 118 115 89	62 72 67 113 109 83	62 72 68 112 108 84	61 71 67 108 105 83	60 68 65 102 99 81	59 67 65 97 95 80	59 65 64 92 91 80	58 64 64 88 87 79	58 62 63 84 83 78	57 61 62 80 80 77	56 60 61 76 76 76	53 56 58 71 71 72	50 53 55 65 66 68	47 49 52 60 60 64	44 46 48 55 55 60

Appendix II Table 2b.Comoros: Sensitivity Analysis for Key Indicators of Public and Publicly Guaranteed External Debt, 2009-2029 (continued)

(In percent)

Debt service-to-exports ratio																					
Baseline	14	6	2	3	2	2	3	4	4	5	5	4	3	3	3	2	3	4	4	4	4
A. Alternative Scenarios																					
 A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2009-2029 1/ A2. New public sector loans on less favorable terms in 2009-2029 2 	14 14	5 6	2 2	3 3	2 3	2 3	3 4	3 5	4 5	4 5	4 5	3 5	2 4	2 4	2 4	2 3	2 4	3 5	3 5	3 5	3 5
. Bound Tests																					
 B1. Real GDP growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B2. Export value growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 3/ B3. US dollar GDP deflator at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B4. Net non-debt creating flows at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B5. Combination of B1-B4 using one-half standard deviation shocks B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation relative to the baseline in 2010 5/ 	14 14 14 14 14 14	6 6 6 6 6	2 3 2 3 3 2	3 4 3 4 4 3	2 3 2 4 4 2	2 3 2 4 4 2	3 4 3 5 5 3	4 5 4 5 5 4	4 6 4 6 4	5 7 5 8 7 5	5 7 5 9 5	4 6 4 8 7 4	3 5 3 7 6 3	3 4 3 6 6 3	3 4 3 6 6 3	2 4 5 5 2	3 4 3 5 5 3	4 5 4 6 6 4	4 6 6 6 4	4 6 6 6 4	4 6 6 6 4
				Deb	t service-	to-revenu	ie ratio														
Baseline	14	6	2	3	2	2	3	4	4	5	5	4	3	3	3	2	3	4	4	4	4
A. Alternative Scenarios																					
A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2009-2029 1/ A2. New public sector loans on less favorable terms in 2009-2029 2	14 14	6 6	2 2	3 3	2 3	2 3	3 4	3 5	4 5	4 5	4 5	3 5	2 4	2 4	2 4	2 3	2 4	3 5	2 5	2 5	2 5
B. Bound Tests																					
 B1. Real GDP growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B2. Export value growth at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 3/ B3. US dollar GDP deflator at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B4. Net non-debt creating flows at historical average minus one standard deviation in 2010-2011 B5. Combination of B1-B4 using one-half standard deviation shocks B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation relative to the baseline in 2010 5/ 	14 14 14 14 14 14	6 6 6 6 8	2 2 3 3 3	3 3 4 4 4	2 3 4 4 3	2 3 4 4 3	3 4 5 5 5	4 5 5 5 6	5 5 6 6 6	5 6 8 8 7	5 6 9 9 7	4 5 4 8 7 6	3 4 7 6 5	3 4 3 6 6 4	3 4 3 6 6 4	2 3 5 5 3	3 3 5 5 4	4 4 6 6 5	4 4 6 6 6	4 4 6 6 6	4 4 6 6 6
Memorandum item: Grant element assumed on residual financing (i.e., financing required above baseline) 6/	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38

Source: Staff projections and simulations.

1/ Variables include real GDP growth, growth of GDP deflator (in U.S. dollar terms), non-interest current account in percent of GDP, and non-debt creating flows.

2/ Assumes that the interest rate on new borrowing is by 2 percentage points higher than in the baseline., while grace and maturity periods are the same as in the baseline.

3/ Exports values are assumed to remain permanently at the lower level, but the current account as a share of GDP is assumed to return to its baseline level after the shock (implicitly assuming

an offsetting adjustment in import levels).

4/ Includes official and private transfers and FDI.

5/ Depreciation is defined as percentage decline in dollar/local currency rate, such that it never exceeds 100 percent.

6/ Applies to all stress scenarios except for A2 (less favorable financing) in which the terms on all new financing are as specified in footnote 2.